ABSTRACT

How Coping Styles Impact Emotional Eating in College Students

Taylor Roth

Director: Christine Limbers, Ph.D.

The college experience for the typical American student has two major components: stress and eating, which tend to work in tandem. However, despite the prevalence and impact of this relationship, relatively few studies have been published exploring the manifestation of stress on eating habits in college students. More specifically, can specific coping styles predict emotional eating behavior? To assess this, approximately 200 undergraduate students were evaluated on dimensions of coping styles, eating habits, and height and weight. Through correlations, regression analyses, and ANOVAs, it was found that depressive and anxious states were associated with avoidance coping, a lower BMI suggested more self-controlling coping, and that negative states could be predicted with other variables.

APPROVED BY DIRECTOR OF HONORS THESIS:

	Dr. Christine Limbers, Department of Psycholog
APPROVEI	D BY DIRECTOR OF THE HONORS PROGRAM:
Dr. Andrew	Wisely, Director

HOW COPING STYLES IMPACT EMOTIONAL EATING IN COLLEGE STUDENTS

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Baylor University In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Honors Program

By

Taylor Roth

Waco, TX

May 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements				iii
Dedication			•	iv
Chapter One: Introduction			•	1
Chapter Two: Materials and Methods.	·	•	·	10
Chapter Three: Results			•	13
Chapter Four: Discussion and Conclusions				15
Appendices			•	20
Appendix A: Study Questionnaire				21
Appendix B: Complete Results Table	es			28
References				35

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank her research assistants, Morgan Raley and Stacy Sebastian, for their help in collecting data. She would also like to thank her committee members, Drs. Hugh Riley and Kevin Dougherty, for their willingness to assist with this project and so many more. Finally, the author would like to thank her director, Dr. Christine Limbers, for providing encouragement, guidance, and patience for the past two years.

DEDICATION

For my Heroes for Life: The Care Net staff and volunteers, Amy Jones, Dr. Christine Limbers, Dr. Elizabeth Maher, Sarah McNeil, Phil Roth, Stacy Roth, and Melanie Schulte

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

As any college student can attest, the university experience has two main components: stress and eating. The relationship between these elements has never been in question, but its extent and depth is only just beginning to be understood. How do other aspects of life affect eating behavior? More specifically, do a person's coping styles predict his or her eating habits, especially in college?

Eating is more than satisfying a physiological need—researchers have found that humans have evolved to crave certain kinds of food, especially fat or sweet food, leading to a subsequent rise in obesity and diabetes. The first study in this area, published in the 1960s, coined this phenomenon the "thrifty gene" hypothesis (Neel, 1962): genes that favored high-insulin-producing foods were once advantageous to primitive peoples in finding foods with high caloric value. However, as food production and human society changed, these genes were passed on with more deleterious effects (Neel, 1962). The original study concerns the emergence and prevalence of diabetes, but in 1999 Neel expanded his hypothesis to include hypertension and obesity. Despite articles challenging Neel's original hypothesis (Speakman, 2008), and Neel's own work in which he disproved some of his own assumptions (Neel, 1998), the "thrifty hypothesis" theory is still central to explaining modern obesity and fat storage, even if done with skepticism (Bouchard, 2008; Prentice, Hennig, & Fulford, 2008).

Genetics alone can't be blamed for the evolutionary rise in obesity—the industrialization of society has also played a part in humans' fat storage. Our Paleolithic predecessors lived in a society that necessitated physical fitness, one in which food had to be hunted and gathered. In contrast, food today is readily available without effort and is specifically engineered for our sweet-craving palates, negating the need for physical labor. Thus, our genetic predisposition for high-calorie food is compounded by a sedentary culture (Bellisari, 2008). Moreover, though obesity is not a desirable trait, it is now increasingly easier to be passed through generations; improved medical care and the decline of infectious diseases have allowed the obese to live longer (albeit perhaps less healthy) lives, thereby increasing the likelihood of genetic transmission to the next generation (Lev-Ran, 2001).

However, evolutionary and cultural histories are not singularly responsible for obesity; temperament has been found to contribute to a person's body mass index as well. Originally only thought to be four different types (choleric, sanguine, phlegmatic, and melancholic), the concept has expanded as research has explored the concept further. As defined by Rothbart and Bates (1998), temperament is "individual differences in emotional, motor and attentional reactivity and self-regulation" (p. 109). Though temperament may change over time, this generally only happens within the first two years of life then becomes relatively stable (Lemery, Goldsmith, Klinnert, & Mrazcek, 1999). Temperament has also been found to be the precursor of personality later in life (Caspi, 2000).

In their review of temperament and childhood obesity risk, Anzman-Frasca, Stifter, and Birch (2012) found that negative temperament and low self-regulation during

childhood are correlated with higher weight in adulthood. For example, one of the earliest studies in this area looked at twins with discordant birth weights and found that the twin with the higher weight later in life was generally the more irritable and difficult to soothe (Riese, 1994). In 2006, researchers in the United Kingdom built upon these findings by discovering a positive relationship between weight gain and distress (Darlington & Wright, 2006). More recent studies have found the relationship to be more complex than originally thought: mother's sensitivity plays a role (Wu, 2011), and body mass indices are not always predicted by temperament (Pryor et al., 2011). However, the fact that the relationship was robust over several longitudinal and cross-sectional studies from various decades and countries indicates that this connection is very present and most likely continues into adulthood.

One minor but telling finding from Anzman-Frasca's review is that negative babies are often fed to be soothed, not simply to satisfy hunger. The link between soothing and later weight is still somewhat tenuous and needs more data to be upheld, but it seems to exist nonetheless, with negative temperament exacerbating the link between being fed to soothe and weight (Stifter, Anzman-Frasca, Birch, & Voegtline, 2011). Recent evidence suggests that parents may feed their fussy children foods high in fat and sugar, as this is thought to quell negative emotions (Vollrath, Tonstad, Rothbart, & Hampson, 2011). These findings naturally lead to questions about progression over time: is "eating to soothe" likely to continue throughout life? Do adults with negative temperament perpetuate these eating habits?

There has been extensive evidence that eating habits in childhood persist into adulthood (Branen & Fletcher, 1999; Kotler, Cohen, Davie, Pine, & Walsh, 2001). For

example, binge-eating habits are longitudinal: children who saw food as a reward or a comfort carry those thoughts into adulthood, leading to similar adult perceptions (Puhl & Schwartz, 2013). These findings indicate that seeing food as a comfort in childhood may form later relationships to food. Moreover, a child's family dynamic has a significant effect on his later eating behaviors: those who grew up in negative or angry households tend to be heavier in weight in adulthood (Ganley, 1992). From this, it's clear that the emotional salience of food operates as a coping mechanism for many people over a lifetime.

The preeminent model of coping was developed by Lazarus and Folkman in 1984 and defined coping as a response to stressors that may protect individuals from psychological and physiological harm (p. 141). Indeed, coping strategies have been found to have a significant effect on a person's mental and physical health. In 1986, Folkman, Lazarus, Dunkel-Schetter, DeLongis, and Gruen identified eight principle ways of coping (confronting, distancing, self-controlling, seeking support, accepting responsibility, escape-avoidance, problem solving, positive reappraisal) and formulated a 66-point scale by which to measure them. Since the development of the Ways of Coping Questionnaire, it has been cited by thousands of other articles and studies, demonstrating that coping assessment is important in a variety of environments, from medical care (Franczak, 2013; Huang et al., 2013) to sports psychology (Verardi et al., 2013) to child motivation and classroom performance (Skinner, Pitzer, & Steele, 2013). Though the present study examines coping with relation to eating, it's clear that coping strategies are pervasive within almost all aspects of an individual's life.

The coping styles most often implicated in eating disorders are distancing, selfcontrolling, and escape-avoidance (García-Grau, Fusté, Miró, Saldaña, & Bados, 2004; Mayhew & Edelmann, 1989; Shatford & Evans, 1987). Distancing is as a coping strategy that involves removing one's self from the problem to avoid it (Galende, de Miguél, & Arranz, 2012; Kolar, Erikson, & Stewart, 2012). Those who display distancing behavior are more likely to have insecure attachment styles (Mikulciner, Florian, & Weller, 1993). Self-control is being in command of one's emotions and behavior. Its use has been strongly implicated in the development of anorexia, as these individuals are likely to control and suppress their desires for food (Butler & Montgomery, 2005). Escapeavoidance coping has been defined as "an attempt to minimize, deny, or otherwise circumvent managing specific stressful situations" (Grant, 2013; Penley, Tomaka, Weibe, 2002). Avoidance is linked to high levels of depression and anxiety, indicating that this style of "coping" may only exacerbate and prolong the stress (Grant, 2013; MacNeil, Esposito-Smythers, Mehlenbeck, & Weismoore, 2012). When looked at as a whole, there are clear commonalities between these three strategies. Most significantly, all three are related to negativity, which relates back to temperament development.

The link between eating and coping was established in the mid 20th century with the anxiety-reduction theory: overeating reduces anxiety, thus creating a vicious circle of hyperphagia (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1957). As early as the 1980s, subjects reported eating in response to emotional arousal, including (but not limited to) anxiety, depression and other negative affects (Baucom & Aiken, 1992; Ruderman, 1985; Wardle & Beales, 1988). Emotional eating was found to have a high correlation with overweight history and food obsession, suggesting that some sort of emotional component connects these three

together (Hoiberg, Berard, & Watten, 1980). In the later part of the 20th century, researchers began to create scales by which to objectively measure this phenomenon, including the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (van Strien, Frijters, Bergers, & Defares, 1986) and the Emotional Eating Scale (Arnow, Kenardy, & Agras 1995). This solidified "emotional eating" as a measurable concept and integrated the phrase and its components into the psychological lexicon.

The first empirical studies in the area of emotional eating almost exclusively focused on its psychopathology and implications. Because of the link between obesity and emotional eating, much of the early research looked at the consequences of emotional and binge eating on weight control and obesity. One of the earliest studies on this subject reported that overweight people responded to situations with prolonged negativity and stress, thus leading to food binges (Lingswiler, Crowther, & Stephens, 1987). More recent research has corroborated this, adding that those with binge eating disorder are often unable to regulate their emotions, leading to subsequent binges with food (Gianini, White, & Masheb, 2013). In addition, frustration and binge eating are closely related and tend to fluctuate concurrently (Verstuyf, 2013) and those with obesity tend to suffer from lower self-esteem (Andrews, Lowe & Clair, 2011). Indeed, the link between personality traits and bingeing is strong: neuroticism, low conscientiousness, and low extraversion are highly correlated with emotional eating (Elfhag & Morey, 2008). In 1989, the average person with obesity was estimated to carry 25 pounds of "stress weight" as a result of his eating habits. Those these data are outdated, it stands to reason that this has persisted or increased over time, given that the population of adults who are overweight and obese

skyrocketed from 50% in 1980 to 70% in 2010 (National Institutes of Health [NIH], 2012)

In the late 1980s and 1990s, research switched from a focus on obesity to eating disorders including anorexia and bulimia nervosas. For example, it was found that women with anorexia often score highly on self-restraint, indicating that they cope with stress by seeking to control feelings (Wardle, 1987) as well as score lower on scores of psychological well being (Lindeman & Stark, 2001) and their desire to eat was often triggered by food cues in a period of self-deprivation (Mauler, Hamm, Weike, & Tuschen-Caffier, 2006). Those with anorexia or bulimia nervosas have been shown to fear losing control and seek to compensate for this by employing strict control over all food (Ricca et al., 2013).

Most current publications (research done after 2000) about emotional eating focus on the cognitions that lead to binging behavior. For example, simply expecting that food will alleviate boredom or negative feelings generally lead to more emotional eating (Hennegan, Loxton, & Mattar, 2013). Also, other psychological disorders and factors are related to emotional eating, including posttraumatic stress disorder (Talbot, 2013) and social anxiety (Ostrovsky, Swencionis, Wylie-Rosett, & Isasi, 2013). This indicates that stress can be manifest in many forms with similarly detrimental effects.

The relationship between coping and emotional eating is newer to the peerreviewed literature, but many researchers have tried to find patterns in the emotional
states of those with eating disorders. From this, similarities in coping and dealing with
stress have emerged. For example, those who use emotion-oriented coping strategies are
significantly likely to develop negative body image and subsequent eating disturbances

(Koff & Sangani, 1997). A 2007 study strengthened this finding with the addition that women engaging in emotional eating tend to cope with problems by avoiding them (Spoor, Bekker, van Strien, & van Heck, 2007).

College-aged adults are not only above average in personal stress levels (D'Zurilla & Sheedy, 1991; Towbes & Cohen, 1996); they are also more likely to report being unable to reach their stress management goals (American Psychological Association [APA], 2013). The combination of financial problems, interpersonal conflict, and growing personal responsibility leads to stress (Ross, Niebling, & Heckert, 1999), which in turn leads to stress management behaviors such as eating. This chain of events makes the college population ideal to study in terms of the relationship between eating and stress. However, few studies have looked at this relationship in depth in college-aged adults. In the earliest publications, females with eating disorders were found to engage in more emotion-oriented coping strategies than their non-afflicted counterparts (Koff & Sangani, 1997), later expanded with the finding that students with eating disorders are more likely to engage in maladaptive strategies (VanBoven & Espelage, 2006). In 2004, researchers examined the link between coping styles and eating but focused primarily on the role of exercise, finding that higher exercise levels are correlated with more negative affect if a subject scores high in disordered eating behavior (Thome & Espelage, 2004). However, these studies looked at eating attitudes in general, not specifically emotional eating. More recently, researchers examined disordered eating in Asian university populations (Gan, Nasir, Zalilah, & Hazizi, 2012). The researchers in this study found that Malaysian university students had a high prevalence of disordered eating, especially in response to depression and anxiety. However, this study focused mainly on depression-not coping styles--and eating in a non-Western population, making it difficult to draw conclusions for an American student population.

The aim of the present study is to evaluate how college students' coping styles impact emotional eating habits. Based on previous research done in this area, I hypothesize that distancing and escape-avoidance coping styles will be related to a higher incidence of emotional eating. Though self-controlling has been implicated in eating disorders, it has only been associated with limiting food intake, not bingeing or eating out of emotion. I also hypothesize that those with many commitments, and therefore ostensibly more stress, will have a higher incidence of emotional eating than those with fewer commitments.

CHAPTER TWO

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were undergraduate students (n = 185) who signed up for the study using an online system. These were generally lowerclassmen enrolled in introductory psychology courses at Baylor University. Participants were recruited using the online Baylor SONA system. They would then report to the testing room in weekly groups of 20 to fill out questionnaires. The full battery of surveys can be found in Appendix A.

Materials

Demographics

A short demographics questionnaire was given to subjects to measure basic characteristics. This included questions about year at Baylor, hometown, major, GPA, and extracurricular activities. This not only allowed for a more complete picture of the subject pool, but also provided a way to see if there were any correlations between personal characteristics and eating habits.

Emotional Eating Scale

The Emotional Eating Scale (EES; Arnow, Kenardy & Agras, 1994) was developed as a self-report measure to provide a more detailed analysis of the relationship between negative affect and disordered eating. The scale uses a Likert-esque format in

order to have subjects rate intensity of the negative feeling to eating. The negative mood states used on the questionnaire fall into the categories of anger/frustration, anxiety, and sadness/depression, which were found to precede 95% of binge eating episodes (Arnow et al., 1992).

Ways of Coping

The Ways of Coping questionnaire is an often-cited scale developed to assess how people cope with stressful situations (Folkman, Lazarus, Dunkel-Schetter, DeLongis, & Gruen, 1986). A subject recounts a stressful situation and then describes strategies for dealing with his problem. There are 66 items measuring eight different coping styles: confrontive, distancing, self-controlling, seeking social support, accepting responsibility, escape-avoidance, planful problem-solving, and positive reappraisal. The coping style outcomes will be compared to the EES scores to see if there are any correlations.

Height and Weight

Each subject had his height and weight measured by a research assistant for the purposes of determining body mass index. Subjects' Body Mass Indices were calculated to assess possible physical effects of emotional eating. The formula for calculating BMI (according to current CDC methodology) is as follows:

Weight (lbs)/[height(in)]2 x 703

Classification of BMI followed CDC guidelines: 18.5% or lower for underweight, between 18.6% and 24.9% for normal weight, 25% to 29.9% for overweight, and above 30% for obese.

Procedure

After signing up using the online system, subjects reported to the specific room and time to complete the study. Subjects were given the questionnaire packet consisting of the demographics, EES, Ways of Coping, and Height/Weight sheet. They were measured one at a time by the research assistant as they completed the packets.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were computed to describe the sample in terms of demographic variables. An analysis of Pearson's Product Moment Correlations among the Emotional Eating Scale and Ways of Coping Questionnaire, were examined to assess for any associations between these two scales. ANOVAs and regression analyses were also computed between the demographic variables and EES and WOC to determine if there were significant differences between groups and if any of the variables had predictive power over the others. Pearson's Product Moment Correlation coefficient effect sizes are small (.10-.29), medium (.30-.49), and large (≥.50).

CHAPTER THREE

Results

The majority of the 140 females (76%) and 43 males (23%) were freshmen or sophomores (85%). Most of the students had GPAs between 2.6 and 3.5 (54%) out of a 4.0 scale. In terms of race/ethnicity, the sample contained 20 (11%) African Americans, 21 (11%) Asians, 110 (59%) Caucasians, 29 (15%) Hispanics, and six (3%) identifying as "other." Most subjects were unemployed (83%), For BMI, 15 (8%) were classified as "underweight," 119 (64%) as normal, 39 (21%) as overweight, and 12 (6%) as obese. The average emotional eating score (a summation of all the EES categories) for the 185 participants was 53.3 out of a possible 125. However, this may not be fully reflective of emotional eating differences, as noted in the discussion. Full demographics can be found in Table 1.

To analyze the data, Pearson correlations, ANOVAs, and regression analyses were run. Significant correlations emerged between demographic factors such as classification and GPA (r = .28, p < .05) and gender and GPA (r = .16, p < .05).

When examining specific emotions, angry emotional eating was associated with both classification and escape/avoidance coping (r = -.14, p < .05; r = .172, p < .05, respectively). Specifically, greater anger in the context of emotional eating was associated with more avoidant coping. In the regression model, none of the variables significantly predicted anger. Additionally, in the ANOVA analysis, there were no

significant differences between demographic groups and coping styles in terms of anger (F(15, 169)=1.214, p=.265).

Anxiety also evidenced some significant associations, such as with classification (r = -.17, p < .05), GPA (r = -.13, p < .05), and employment (r = -.14, p < .05). However, there were no significant correlations related to coping style and eating. When looking at the regression analyses, demographic factors including classification and employment status were significant predictors of anxious eating behavior (b = -1.104, t (177) = -2.287, p < .05; b = -2.589, t (177) = -2.572, p < .05, respectively); coping styles were not a significant predictor of emotional eating in the regression analyses. An ANOVA reinforced this finding: there were significant differences between demographic groups in terms of anxiety (F (7, 177) = 2.327, p = .027), but these differences were insignificant with coping styles (F (15, 169) = 1.370, p = .167).

Finally, depression was negatively correlated with classification and employment status (r = -.20, p < .05; r = -.184, p < .05, respectively) and positively correlated with escape/avoidance coping (r = .14, p = .032). Regression results demonstrated that both coping styles and demographic factors (BMI, state residency, ethnicity, gender, GPA and classification) significantly predicted depressive eating (b = 26.526, t (177) = 8.259, p < .05). The ANOVA for depression indicated that not only was depression significantly different across demographic factors (F (7, 177) = 3.684, p = .001), but also coping styles (F (15, 169) = 2.104, p = .012). Complete tables of results can be found in Appendix B.

CHAPTER FOUR

Discussion and Conclusions

The general initial hypothesis that coping styles and emotional eating would have a clear, definitive link could not be fully supported in the present data. However, there were indications that associations exist between coping styles and emotional eating. There was a positive significant correlation between angry eating and escape/avoidance coping demonstrating that those who eat in response to anger tend to avoid problems rather than face them. This same association was found between depressive eating and escape/avoidance coping indicating that those who eat in response to depression tend to avoid their problems. There was not a significant association between any of the coping styles and anxious eating. The connection between angry eating, depressive eating, and escape/avoidance coping underscore the idea that negative moods may play a role in eating behavior, especially if one uses food to avoid problems.

It was also hypothesized that distancing coping styles would be associated with eating in relation to negative moods, but this was not supported by the data. The association between depression and distancing was marginally significant (r = .20, p = .053), but it was not strong enough to draw conclusions.

The patterns that emerged in the present study reinforced previous research. For example, individuals with bulimia nervosa reported higher levels of avoidance in response to depression, which they resolve through food (Shatford & Evans, 1987). A similar pattern has been observed in those with anorexia nervosa: they are likely to use

avoidance coping in combination with irrational beliefs when faced with a problem (Mayhew & Edelmann, 1989). More recently, evidence has emerged that these patterns apply to the larger population, not just those with disordered eating. In a 2013 study, anxiety and depression in a group of university students predicted avoidance coping behavior over an eight-week period (Grant, 2013). These findings along with the current study suggest that those facing negative emotions often avoid their problems. Instead of turning to active problem-solving methods, students may use food, something with instant gratification that can be controlled, as a way to ignore problems.

In the present study self-controlling was not associated with a lower incidence of emotional eating through correlations; however, those who reported higher selfcontrolling coping styles had a lower BMI. While no causal relationship can be established, this suggests that those who are self-controlling in nature may limit their food intake, whether consciously or not. This finding is consistent with past literature. In a 2005 study, Butler and Montgomery found that girls with anorexia nervosa were significantly more likely than a control group to report higher levels of self-control. Researchers in 2011 found that self-control does not necessarily have to be pathological: women with higher levels of self-control lost more weight and exercised more frequently than their non-controlling counterparts (Crescioni et al., 2011). The subjects in the present study are similar to the latter group in that their eating is most likely not disordered, but regardless of specifics they reinforce the fact that a lower BMI is connected to self-controlling behavior. This is most likely because, unlike emotional eaters, these individuals are able to control their eating in response to emotion and cope in other ways.

It was hypothesized in the present study that those students who reported more stress, whether with more extracurricular activities or more time in class, would demonstrate an increase in emotional eating. However, this could not be supported with the data. Though several demographic factors correlated with each other, such as gender and GPA (r = .164, p < .05), there was no association found between an increase in stress and emotional eating. In fact, one of the commonalities between the emotions was the fact that classification in school was significantly related to a *lower* incidence of emotional eating; upperclassmen did not appear to eat in response to negative emotions as often as underclassmen (anxiety: r = -.17, p < .05; depression: r = -.20, p < .05; anger: r = -.14, p < .05). Additionally, there was no significant difference between genders in terms of emotional eating. This was surprising given the fact that females have consistently been reported to eat out of response to negative feelings in previous literature (Spoor, Bekker, van Strien, & van Heck, 2007; Pinaguy, Chabroi, Simin, Louvet, & Barbe, 2012). Males, on the other hand, have been shown to eat more in response to stress, not specific emotions (Tanofsky, Wilfley, Spurrell, Welch, & Brownell, 1998). This finding may be a result of the sample, which was 74% female and may have diluted the results.

However, while these results may broaden the perspective and knowledge of emotional eating within this population, they must come with a caveat: people are often inaccurate in assessing their emotional eating habits. This could be due to a myriad of reasons. Individuals are notorious for underreporting or omitting behavior in questionnaires that may be seen as unattractive. This social desirability is especially salient with respect to caloric intake and eating; individuals, especially the obese,

regularly misreport how many calories they consume (Lichtman et al., 1992). Coupled with reporting negative emotions, a subject could be very reluctant to be honest in his eating behavior (Evers, de Ridder, & Adriaanse, 2009).

Emotional eating scales can also be problematic in that states of emotional eating and states of reporting emotional eating can be very different. An emotional experience is remembered less emotionally, and therefore less powerfully, in a neutral situation (Van Boven & Loewenstein, 2003). Asking subjects to recall responses to emotional events in a mundane study setting will most likely not elicit the same intuitive reaction as an actual depression-, anxiety-, or anger-producing event, thus affecting EES responses.

With this in mind, the lack of significant differences between weight groups may not be due to an actual absence of differences, but rather due to the inherent problems of an emotional eating scale. The current scale is adequate in that it allows the construct of emotional eating to be measured with some accuracy, but perhaps a scale that measures these same outcomes in a more indirect or situational way is warranted.

College students are stressed by their social, financial, and academic obligations in a new, transitional life stage (Ross, Niebling, & Heckert, 1999). In fact, it seems that negative emotional eating decreases with increased stress, such as with job. It could be that while students *enter* college stressed, they learn to adequately cope with their responsibilities over time, which decreases anxiety, depression, and anger in response to increased demands. Another explanation could be that the ostensibly stressful events of having a job and being an upperclassman are not what students consider stressful. If the questionnaire had included other categories or a broader definition of "stress," such as financial or social aspects of collegiate living, the responses may have been different.

The main limitation of this study was the homogeneity of the sample. Though this sample was representative of Baylor students in introductory Psychology courses, it is unlikely to be able to be generalized to the college population as a whole due to its high proportions of females and lowerclassmen. This homogenous sample may have diluted findings, such as between genders or classifications. A similar study with a more diverse subject pool would help to elucidate findings. In addition, this was a self-report study that relied on information directly from the subjects; a more objective study may yield different results.

A natural extension of these findings would be to extend and apply them to interventions on a college campus. As noted by the demographics, the population most at risk for emotional eating in response to negative emotions is lowerclassmen females. These are individuals who most likely are still dealing with the transition into college and haven't yet adjusted to the new lifestyle. Such students may benefit from social skills training, in which they learn how to develop social support, or stress management training that teaches a problem-focused rather than emotion-focused approach to dealing with stressors. These interventions could not only help with perceptions of stress, but also how students deal with stress and subsequent health responses.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Questionnaire Administered to Subjects

Demographics

mog	grapnics
1)	What year (e.g., classification) are you currently at Baylor? Freshman Sophomore Junior Senior Greater than senior
2)	Are you an in-state or out-of-state student? In-State Out-of-State
3)	What is your Race/Ethnicity? Caucasian African-American Hispanic/Latino Asian Other
4)	Are you a male or female? Male Female
5)	What is your current GPA at Baylor University? <i>Less than 2.0</i> 2.1-2.5 2.6-3.0 3.1-3.5 3.6-4.0
6)	What is your major at Baylor University? Business Health Professions Biology Communications/Journalism Education Social Sciences Psychology Other Undeclared

7) How much time do you spend in class per week (hrs/per week)? Less than 12 12-15
Greater than 15
8) Are you currently employed? Yes No
9) If you are currently employed, how many hours per week do you work? Not applicable, I am not currently employed Less than 10 hours 10-12 hours 12-15 hours Greater than 15 hours
 10) How many hours per week do you participate in extracurricular activities Less than 5 hours 5-7 hours 8-10 hours Greater than 10 hours
Height/Weight Form
Height/Weight Information (FOR RESEARCH ASSISTANT TO COMPLETE)
Participant Number:
Height:
Weight:
Body Mass Index:
Ways of Coping
Briefly describe the most stressful situation you have encountered:

WAYS OF COPING (Revised)

Please read each item below and indicate, by using the following rating scale, to what extent you used it in the situation you have just described.

Not Used	Used Somewhat	Used Quite A Bit	Escil A great deal
Ð	1	2	. 3
1. Just conc	entrated on what I had to d	to next.— the next step,	
2. 1 tried to	analyze the problem in ord	der to understand it better.	
3. Turned to	a work or substitute activity	y to take my mind off things.	
4. I felt that	time would make a differe	ence - the only thing to do w	as to wait.
5. Bargaine	d or compromised to get so	omething positive from the si	mation.
6. I did som	ething which I didn't think	would work, but as least I w	as doing somothing.
7. Tried to	get the person responsible t	o change his or her mind.	
8. Talked to	someone to find out more	about the situation.	
9. Criticizo	d or lectured myself.		
10. Tried no	t to burn my bridges, but le	eave things open somewhat.	
	miracle would happen.		
12. Went ald	ong with fate; sometimes I	just have bad luck.	
13. Went on	as if nothing had happened	d.	
14. I tried to	keep my feelings to mysel	f.	
15. Looked	for the silver lining, so to s	peak; tried to look on the bri	ght side of things.
16. Slept ти	ore than usual.		
17. I express	sed anger to the person(s) w	vito caused the problem.	
18. Accepte	d sympathy and understand	ling from someone.	

Used	Somewhat	Quite A Bit	A great deal
0	1	2	3
19. I told n	nyself things that helped me t	to feet hetter.	
20. I was in	nspired to do something creat	live.	
21. Tried (o forget the whole thing.		
22. I got pr	ofessional help.		
23. Change	ed or grew as a person in a go	ond way.	
24. I waite	d to see what would happen b	before doing anything.	
25. I apolo	gized or did something to me	ike up.	
26. I made	a plan of action and follower	d in.	
27. Тассер	ted the next best thing to wha	at I wanted.	
28. Het my	feelings out somehow.		
. 29. Realize	ed I brought the problem on n	nyself.	
30. I came	out of the experience better t	dian when I went in,	
31. Talked	to someone who could do so	mething concrete about the	e problem.
32. Got aw	ay from it for a while; tried t	o rest or take a vacation.	
	o make myself feel better by tion, etc.	eating, drinking, smoking,	using drugs or
34. Took a	big chance or did something	very risky.	
35. I tried	not to act too hastily or follow	w my first hunch.	
36. Found	new faith.		
37. Mainta	ined my pride and kept a stiff	f upper fip.	
38, Redisc	overed what is important in I	ife.	

Not

Used

Used

Used

0	1 2	3
39.	Changed something so things would turn out all right,	
40.	Avoided being with people in general.	
41,	Didn't let it get to me; refused to think too much about it.	
42.	I asked a relative or friend I respected for advice,	
43.	Kept others from knowing how bad things were.	
44,	Made light of the situation; refused to get too serious about it.	
45.	Talked to someone about how I was feeling.	
46.	Stood my ground and fought for what I wanted.	
47.	Took it out on other people.	
48.	Drew on my past experiences; I was in a similar simulion before.	
49.	I knew what had to be done, so I doubled my efforts to make things wor	k.
50.	Refused to believe that it had happened.	
51.	I made a promise to myself that things would be different next time.	
52.	Came up with a couple of different solutions to the problem.	
53.	Accepted it, since nothing could be done.	
54.	I tried to keep my feelings from interfering with other things too much.	
	Wished that I could change what had happened or how I felt.	
56.	I changed something about myself.	
57.	I daydreamed or imagined a better time or place than the one I was in.	
58.	. Wished that the situation would go away or somehow be over with.	
59.	Had fantasies or wishes about how things might turn out.	

Not

Used

Used

Somewhat

Used

Used

Quite A Bit A great deal

Used	Somewhat	Quite A Bit	A great deal
0	1	2	3
60. I prayed.			
61. I prepare	ad myself for the worst.		
62. I went or	ver in my mind what I wou	ld say or do.	
63. I thought	t about how a person I adm	tire would handle this situs	tion and used that
64, I tried to	see things from the other p	person's point of view.	
65. 1 remind	ed myself how much worse	things could be.	
66, I jagged	or exercised.		

Emotional Eating Scale

We all respond to different emotions in different ways. Some types of feelings lead people to experience an urge to eat. Please indicate the extent to which the following feelings lead you to feel an urge to eat by checking the appropriate box.

	No Desire to Est	A Small Desire to Eat	A Moderate Desire to Est	A Strong Unge to Eat	An Overwhelming Urge to Eat
Reservin					
Discouraged					
Shaky					-
Worn Out					
Inadequate					
Excilei					7
Rebellious					
Blue				·····	
Jitlery					
Sed	,				
Uneasy					
Irribated					
Jeakeus					7
Worried					
Fountrated					
Lonely			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s	
Furious					
On edge					
Confused				*	
Nervous			•••		
Angry					
Guilty					
Bared				1000	V.144.44
He-pless					
Upset		Section			

APPENDIX B

Table B.1

Descriptive Statistics: Demographics

Descriptive Statistics: D	Number	Dorgantaga
<u>Category</u> Classification	<u>Inuilibei</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
	100	<i>5.</i> 40/
Freshman	100	54%
Sophomore	58	31%
Junior	16	8%
Senior	11	6%
State	101	- 10/
In State	131	71%
Out of State	54	29%
Race		
White	110	59%
African American	20	11%
Latino	29	15%
Asian	21	11%
Other	6	3%
Gender		
Male	43	23%
Female	140	76%
No Answer	2	1%
GPA		
2.0-2.4	1	0.50%
2.1-2.5	5	3%
2.6-3.0	41	22%
3.1-3.5	60	32%
3.6-4.0	49	26%
No Answer	29	16%
Job		
Employed	31	17%
Unemployed	154	83%
BMI		
Underweight	15	8%
Normal weight	119	64%
Overweight	39	21%
Obese	12	6%
Average EES Score	53.3	

n = 185

Table B.2

Descriptive Statistics: Emotional Eating and Ways of Coping

ways of Coping				
		Standard	Maximum	Minimum
<u>Variable</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Deviation</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u>
Anger Eating	20.661	7.553	55	0
Anxiety Eating	16.452	5.366	40	0
Depression Eating	15.923	4.398	30	0
				-
Confrontative	6.424	3.435	24	0
Coping	0.424	5.755	27	O
	7.204	5.067	24	0
Distancing	7.394	5.067	24	0
Coping				_
Self-Controlling	9.915	3.842	28	0
Coping				
Social Support	9.424	3.975	24	0
Coping				
1 0				
Accept	5.496	3.192	16	0
Responsibility	3.470	3.172	10	O
Coping				
	404	4.0.60		0
Escape/Avoidance	10.177	4.268	32	0
Coping				
Planful Problem	10.314	4.07	24	0
Solving Coping				
Positive	11.155	4.325	28	0
Reappraisal	11.100	525	20	· ·
Coping				

n = 185

Table B.3

Con cranons Dent con Demographic, Dinonona Danie, and Coping, and access	20000	7	2006	7,000	1		0	-	0	,	******							
													Self	ocial	Accept	Escape/	Planful Problem	Positive
Variable	Anger	Anxiety	Depression	Class	State	Race	Gender	GPA	8	BMI.	Confrontative	Distancing	Controlling Si	pport	Respons	Avoidance		ap
viger	1.00	.00	.00	.14	.02	.02	.04	.00	£	É	.04	.00	.00	.00				
Anxiety		1.00	49*	17*	.05	10	.00	.13*	. 14	.08	.05	.07	.04	.05		.08		
Depression			1.00	.20	.12	.01	.02	.03	. 18	£	.05	.12	.10	Ξ		4		
Class				1.00	.12*	Ξ	.07	.28**	.25	.08	.12	.00	.03	.02		.03		
State					1.00	07	.01	.10	.00	.01	.09	.05	.04	.03		.09		
Race						1.00	.04	02	03	.03	.01	.10	.16*	.02		.32*		
Gender							1.00	.16*	05	.09	.07	≟	.04	.01		.04		
GPA								1.00	.04	.01	.05	.04	.01	.04		.05		
Job									1.00	.07	.07	.05	.02	.00		.17*		07
ВМІ										1.00	.08	.06	.17*	.00		.05		
Confrontative											1.00	.16*	.27*	.27*		44		
Distancing												1.00	.36*	.01		.20*		
Self-Controlling													1.00	Ξ		.25*		
Social Support														1.00	.16*	∺		
Accept																.49*		
Escape/Avoidance																1.00		
Planful Problem																		
Solving Positive Reappraisal																		1.0

Table B.4

Regression Analyses for Variables Predicting Anxiety Emotional Eating

<u> 1tegression ilitary</u>	yses for variables Predicting Anxiety Em Model 1 ^a			Model 2 ^b		
-	В	SE B	β	В	SE B	β
Job	-2.589	1.007	-0.192*	-2.349	1.051	-0.174*
Classification	-1.104	0.483	-0.181*	-0.913	0.508	-0.15
State						
Residency	-0.258	0.854	-0.022	-0.014	0.88	-0.001
Ethnicity	-0.386	0.325	-0.086	-0.534	0.359	-0.12
Gender	-0.153	0.771	-0.015	-0.259	0.789	-0.025
GPA	-0.266	0.245	-0.083	-0.262	0.252	-0.082
BMI	-0.553	0.541	-0.074	-0.747	0.568	-0.1
Confrontative						
Coping				-0.155	0.142	-0.099
Distancing						
Coping				-0.088	0.085	-0.083
Self-						
Controlling				0.010	0.10	0.013
Coping				-0.018	0.12	-0.013
Social Support				0.06	0.400	0.04.
Coping				-0.06	0.108	-0.045
Accepting						
Responsibility						
Coping				0.002	0.154	0.001
Escape-						
Avoidance				0.102	0.121	0.145
Coping Planful				0.182	0.121	0.145
Problem						
Solving						
Coping				-0.035	0.105	-0.026
Positive				*****	*****	****
Reappraisal						
Coping				0.054	0.104	0.044
- · r0						
R^2		0.084			0.024	
F for change in						
R^2		2.327*			0.572	

^{*}*p* < .05

Table B.5

Regression Analyses for Variables Predicting Anger Emotional Eating

	Model 1 ^a			Model 2 ^b		
	B	SE B	β	B	SE B	β
Job	-3.221	1.425	-0.17*	-2.702	1.489	-0.142
Classification	-1.865	0.684	-0.218*	-1.647	-0.192	-0.192*
State						
Residency	-0.132	1.209	-0.008	0.109	1.247	0.007
Ethnicity	0.277	0.46	0.044	-0.068	0.507	-0.011
Gender	-1.484	1.091	-0.101	-1.419	1.118	-0.097
GPA	0.574	0.347	0.128	0.568	0.357	0.126
BMI	-1.236	0.765	-0.118	-1.34	0.805	-0.128
Confrontative						
Coping				-0.105	0.201	-0.048
Distancing						
Coping				-0.053	0.12	-0.035
Self-						
Controlling						
Coping				0.034	0.171	0.017
Social						
Support						
Coping				0.07	0.153	0.037
Accepting						
Responsibility						
Coping				0.01	0.219	0.004
Escape-						
Avoidance						
Coping				0.299	0.172	0.169
Planful						
Problem						
Solving						
Coping				-0.022	0.149	-0.012
Positive						
Reappraisal						
Coping				0.035	0.148	0.02
R^2		0.074			0.097	
F for change in R	2	2.007			0.556	

^{*}*p* < .05

Table B.6

Regression Analyses for Variables Predicting Depression Emotional Eating

Classification -1.39 0.387 -0.279* -1.343 0.404 -0.269		Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b			
Classification -1.39		В	SE B	β	B	•	β
State Residency -0.924	Job	-2.85	0.806	-0.258*	-2.652	0.838	-0.24*
Residency -0.924	Classification	-1.39	0.387	-0.279*	-1.343	0.404	-0.269*
Ethnicity 0.05 0.26 0.014 0.06 0.286 -0.01 Gender -0.356 0.617 -0.042 -0.23 0.629 -0.02 GPA 0.296 0.196 0.113 -0.23 0.629 -0.02 BMI -0.651 0.433 -0.107 -0.551 0.453 -0.0 Confrontative Coping 0 0.113 Distancing Coping 0.007 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.04 Social Support Coping 0.0127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping 0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.086 0.00	State						
Gender -0.356	Residency	-0.924	0.683	-0.096	-0.972	0.702	-0.101
GPA 0.296 0.196 0.113 -0.23 0.629 -0.02 BMI -0.651 0.433 -0.107 -0.551 0.453 -0.00 Confrontative Coping 0 0.113 Distancing Coping 0.007 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.04 Social Support Coping 0.0127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 R ² 0.127 0.083 -0.00 Roots 0.008 0.084 0.00	Ethnicity	0.05	0.26	0.014	0.06	0.286	-0.016
BMI -0.651 0.433 -0.107 -0.551 0.453 -0.00 Confrontative Coping	Gender	-0.356	0.617	-0.042	-0.23	0.629	-0.027
Confrontative Coping 0 0.113 Distancing Coping 0.007 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.048 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.086 0.11	GPA	0.296	0.196	0.113	-0.23	0.629	-0.027
Coping 0 0.113 Distancing Coping 0.07 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.04 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.157	BMI	-0.651	0.433	-0.107	-0.551	0.453	-0.09
Distancing Coping Coping 0.07 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.048 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.088 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.008 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.008	Confrontative						
Coping 0.07 0.068 0.08 Self- Controlling Coping 0.048 0.096 0.04 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.157	Coping				0	0.113	0
Self- Controlling Coping	Distancing						
Controlling Coping Copi	Coping				0.07	0.068	0.081
Coping 0.048 0.096 0.048 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.157	Self-						
Coping 0.048 0.096 0.048 Social Support Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R² 0.127 0.157	Controlling						
Support Coping					0.048	0.096	0.042
Coping Accepting Responsibility Coping Escape- Avoidance Coping Planful Problem Solving Coping Positive Reappraisal Coping Polymate Reappraisal Robins Polymate Reappraisal Robins Polymate Reappraisal Robins Robins Polymate Reappraisal Robins Robin	Social						
Coping 0.127 0.086 0.11 Accepting Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R ² 0.127 0.157	Support						
Responsibility Coping	Coping				0.127	0.086	0.114
Responsibility Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R ² 0.127 0.157	Accepting						
Coping -0.088 0.123 -0.06 Escape- Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R ² 0.127 0.157							
Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.088 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.008 0.084 0.008 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.157	-				-0.088	0.123	-0.064
Avoidance Coping 0.087 0.097 0.08 Planful Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.008 0.084 0.000 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.157	Escape-						
Planful Problem Solving Coping Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 -0.002 -0.157	-						
Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R^2 0.127 0.157	Coping				0.087	0.097	0.084
Problem Solving Coping 0.008 0.084 0.00 Positive Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R^2 0.127 0.157	Planful						
Solving Coping $0.008 0.084 0.008$ Positive Reappraisal Coping $-0.002 0.083 -0.008$ $R^2 0.127 0.157$							
Coping $0.008 0.084 0.008$ Positive Reappraisal $-0.002 0.083 -0.002$ $R^2 0.127 0.157$							
Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.002 0.157 0.157	•				0.008	0.084	0.008
Reappraisal Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.002 0.157 0.157	Positive						
Coping -0.002 0.083 -0.00 R^2 0.127 0.157							
_					-0.002	0.083	-0.002
_	R^2		0.127			0.157	
	F for change in R	,2	3.684*			0.757*	

^{*}p < .05

Table B.7

ANOVA for Variables and Anger

Laung			
	<u>df</u>	<u>F</u>	\underline{h}^2
Model 1 ^a	184	2.007*	0.074
Model 2 ^b	184	1.214	0.1

^{*}*p* < .05

Table B.8

ANOVA for Variables and Anxiety

Łа	1	7	n	$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
Lu	ı	ı	1 v	^

=			
	<u>df</u>	<u>F</u>	\underline{h}^2
Model 1 ^a	184	2.327*	0.084
Model 2 ^b	184	1.37	0.108

^{*}*p* < .05

Table B.9

ANOVA for Variables and Depression Eating

	<u>df</u>	<u>F</u>	\underline{h}^2
Model 1 ^a	184	3.684*	0.127
Model 2 ^b	184	2.104*	0.157

^{*}*p* < .05

^a Predictors: BMI, State Residency, Job, Ethnicity, Gender, GPA, Classification ^b Predictors: BMI, State Residency, Job, Ethnicity, Gender, GPA, Classification, Social Support Coping, Distancing Coping, Planful Problem Solving Coping, Confrontative Coping, Positive Reappraisal Coping, Self-Controlling Coping, Accepting Responsibility Coping, Accepting Responsibility Coping, Escape-Avoidance Coping

REFERENCES

- American Psychological Association. (2013). Stress in America: Missing the healthcare connection (Survey) (pp. 1–64). Retrieved from: http://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/stress/2012/full-report.pdf
- Andrews, R. A., Lowe R., & Clair, A. (2011). The relationship between basic need satisfaction and emotional eating in obesity. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 63(4), 207–213. doi:10.1111/j.1742-9536.2011.00021.x
- Anzman-Frasca, S., Stifter, C. A., & Birch, L. L. (2012). Temperament and childhood obesity risk: A review of the literature. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics: JDBP*, 33(9), 732–745. doi:10.1097/DBP.0b013e31826a119f
- Arnow, B., Kenardy, J., & Agras, W. S. (1992). Binge eating among the obese: A descriptive study. *Journal of behavioral medicine*, 15(2), 155–170.
- Arnow, B., Kenardy, J., & Agras, W. S. (1995). The emotional eating scale: The development of a measure to assess coping with negative affect by eating. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 18(1), 79–90. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7670446
- Baucom, D. H., & Aiken, P. A. (1981). Effect of depressed mood on eating among obese and nonobese dieting and nondieting persons. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 41(3), 577–585. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.41.3.577
- Bellisari, A. (2008). Evolutionary origins of obesity. *Obesity Reviews: An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*, 9(2), 165–180. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2007.00392.x
- Bouchard, C. (2008). Gene-environment interactions in the etiology of obesity: Defining the fundamentals. *Obesity*, *16* (SUPPL3), S5–S10. doi:10.1038/oby.2008.528
- Branen, L., & Fletcher, J. (1999). Comparison of college students' current eating habits and recollections of their childhood food practices. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, 31(6), 304–310. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(99)70483-8
- Butler, G. K. L., & Montgomery, A. M. J. (2005). Subjective self-control and behavioural impulsivity coexist in anorexia nervosa. *Eating Behaviors*, *6*(3), 221–227. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2004.11.002

- Caspi, A. (2000). The child is father of the man: Personality continuities from childhood to adulthood. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(1), 158–172. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.78.1.158
- Crescioni, A. W., Ehrlinger, J., Alquist, J. L., Conlon, K. E., Baumeister, R. F., Schatschneider, C., & Dutton, G. R. (2011). High trait self-control predicts positive health behaviors and success in weight loss. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 16(5), 750–759. doi:10.1177/1359105310390247
- D'Zurilla, T. J., & Sheedy, C. F. (1991). Relation between social problem-solving ability and subsequent level of psychological stress in college students. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61(5), 841–846. doi:10.1037/0022-
- Darlington, A. E., & Wright, C. M. (2006). The influence of temperament on weight gain in early infancy. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics: JDBP*, *27*(4), 329–335. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16906009
- Elfhag, K., & Morey, L. C. (2008). Personality traits and eating behavior in the obese: Poor self-control in emotional and external eating but personality assets in restrained eating. *Eating Behaviors*, *9*(3), 285–293. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2007.10.003
- Evers, C., de Ridder, D. T. D., & Adriaanse, M. A. (2009). Assessing yourself as an emotional eater: mission impossible? *Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association*, 28(6), 717–725.
- Franczak, K. (2013). Attachment styles and the ways of coping with stress in Polish nurses. *Advances in Palliative Medicine*, 11(2), 62–73. Retrieved from: http://czasopisma.viamedica.pl/apm/article/view/35094
- Folkman, S., Lazarus, R. S., Dunkel-Schetter, C., DeLongis, A., & Gruen, R. (1986). The dynamics of a stressful encounter: Cognitive appraisal, coping and encounter outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *50*, 992-1003.
- Galende, N., De Miguél, M. S., & Arranz, E. (2012). The role of parents' distancing strategies in the development of five-year-old children's Theory of Mind. *Early Child Development and Care*, 182(2), 207–220. doi:10.1080/03004430.2011.553676
- Gan, W. Y., Nasir, M. T. M., Zalilah, M. S., & Hazizi, A. S. (2011). Disordered eating behaviors, depression, anxiety and stress among Malaysian university students. *College Student Journal*, *45*(2), 296–309. Retrieved from: http://psasir.upm.edu.my/24653/

- Ganley, R. M. (1992). Family patterns in obesity with consideration of emotional eating and restraint. *Family Systems Medicine*, 10(2), 181–199. doi:10.1037/h0089244
- García-Grau, E., Fusté, A., Miró, A., Saldaña, C., & Bados, A. (2002). Coping style and disturbed eating attitudes in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 32(1), 116–120. doi:10.1002/eat.10060
- Gianini, L. M., White, M. A., & Masheb, R. M. (2013). Eating pathology, emotion regulation, and emotional overeating in obese adults with binge eating disorder. *Eating Behaviors*, *14*(3), 309–313. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2013.05.008
- Grant, D. M. W. (2013). An examination of the reciprocal relationship between avoidance coping and symptoms of anxiety and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 32(8), 878–896. doi:10.1521/jscp.2013.32.8.878
- Hennegan, J. M., Loxton, N. J., & Mattar, A. (2013). Great expectations: Eating expectancies as mediators of reinforcement sensitivity and eating. *Appetite*, 71, 81–88. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2013.07.013
- Hoiberg, A., Berard, S. P., & Watten, R. H. (1980). Correlates of obesity. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *36*(4), 983–991. doi:10.1002/1097-4679(198010)36:4<983::AID-JCLP2270360429>3.0.CO;2-W
- Huang, M. F., Huang, W. H., Su, Y. C., Hou, S. Y., Chen, H. M., Yeh, Y. C., & Chen, C. S. (2013). Coping strategy and caregiver burden among caregivers of patients with dementia. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*, Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/1533317513494446
- Kaplan, H. I., & Kaplan, H. S. (1957). The psychosomatic concept of obesity. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 125, 181–201. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13481715
- Koff, E., & Sangani, P. (1997). Effects of coping style and negative body image on eating disturbance. *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 22(1), 51–56. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9140735
- Kolar, K., Erickson, P. G., & Stewart, D. (2012). Coping strategies of street-involved youth: Exploring contexts of resilience. *Journal of Youth Studies*, *15*(6), 744–760. doi:10.1080/13676261.2012.677814
- Kotler, L. A., Cohen, P., Davie, M., Pine, D. S., & Walsh, B. T. (2001). Longitudinal relationships between childhood, adolescent, and adult eating disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40(12), 1434–1440. doi:10.1097/00004583-200112000-00014

- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer Publishing Company.
- Lemery, K. S., Goldsmith, H. H., Klinnert, M. D., & Mrazek, D. A. (1999).

 Developmental models of infant and childhood temperament. *Developmental Psychology*, *35*(1), 189–204. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9923474
- Lev-Ran, A. (2001). Human obesity: An evolutionary approach to understanding our bulging waistline. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews*, 17(5), 347–362. doi:10.1002/dmrr.230
- Lichtman, S. W., Pisarska, K., Berman, E. R., Pestone, M., Dowling, H., Offenbacher, E., ... Heymsfield, S. B. (1992). Discrepancy between self-reported and actual caloric intake and exercise in obese subjects. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 327(27), 1893–1898. doi:10.1056/NEJM199212313272701
- Lindeman, M. & Stark, K., (2001). Emotional eating and eating disorder psychopathology. *Eating Disorders*, *9*(3), 251–259. doi:10.1080/10640260127552
- Lingswiler, V. M., Crowther, J. H., & Stephens, M. A. (1987). Emotional reactivity and eating in binge eating and obesity. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 10(3), 287–299. doi:10.1007/BF00846542
- MacNeil, L., Esposito-Smythers, C., Mehlenbeck, R., & Weismoore, J. (2012). The effects of avoidance coping and coping self-efficacy on eating disorder attitudes and behaviors: A stress-diathesis model. *Eating Behaviors*, 13(4), 293–296. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.06.005
- Mauler, B. I., Hamm, A. O., Weike, A. I., & Tuschen-Caffier, B. (2006). Affect regulation and food intake in bulimia nervosa: Emotional responding to food cues after deprivation and subsequent eating. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *115*(3), 567–579. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.115.3.567
- Mayhew, R., & Edelmann, R. J. (1989). Self-esteem, irrational beliefs and coping strategies in relation to eating problems in a non-clinical population. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 10(5), 581–584. doi:10.1016/0191-8869(89)90042-1
- Mikulincer, M., Florian, V., & Weller, A. (1993). Attachment styles, coping strategies, and posttraumatic psychological distress: The impact of the Gulf War in Israel. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 64*(5), 817–826. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.64.5.817
- National Institutes of Health. 2012. Overweight and Obesity Statistics. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from: http://win.niddk.nih.gov/publications/PDFs/stat904z.pdf

- Neel, J. V. (1962). Diabetes mellitus: A "thrifty" genotype rendered detrimental by "progress"? *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *14* (4), 353–362. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1932342/
- Neel, J. V., Weder, A. B., & Julius, S. (1998). Type II diabetes, essential hypertension, and obesity as "syndromes of impaired genetic homeostasis": the "thrifty genotype" hypothesis enters the 21st century. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine, 42(1), 44–74. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9894356
- Neel, J. V. (1999). The "thrifty genotype" in 1998. Nutrition reviews, 57(5 Pt 2), S2–9. Retrieved from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1753-4887.1999.tb01782.x/abstract
- Ostrovsky, N. W., Swencionis, C., Wylie-Rosett, J., & Isasi, C. R. (2013). Social anxiety and disordered overeating: An association among overweight and obese individuals. *Eating Behaviors*, *14*(2), 145–148. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2013.01.009
- Penley, J. A., Tomaka, J., & Wiebe, J. S. (2002). The association of coping to physical and psychological health outcomes: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 25(6), 551–603.
- Pinaquy, S., Chabrol, H., Simon, C., Louvet, J. P., & Barbe, P. (2003). Emotional eating, alexithymia, and binge-eating disorder in obese women. *Obesity Research*, 11(2), 195–201. doi:10.1038/oby.2003.31
- Prentice, A. M., Hennig, B. J., & Fulford, A. J. (2008). Evolutionary origins of the obesity epidemic: natural selection of thrifty genes or genetic drift following predation release? *International Journal of Obesity*, *32*(11), 1607–1610. doi:10.1038/ijo.2008.147
- Pryor, L. E., Tremblay, R. E., Boivin, M., Touchette, E., Dubois, L., Genolini, C., ... Côté, S. M. (2011). Developmental trajectories of body mass index in early childhood and their risk factors: An 8-year longitudinal study. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 165(10), 906–912. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.153
- Puhl, R. M., & Schwartz, M. B. (2003). If you are good you can have a cookie: How memories of childhood food rules link to adult eating behaviors. *Eating Behaviors*, *4*(3), 283–293. doi:10.1016/S1471-0153(03)00024-2
- Ricca, V., Castellini, G., Fioravanti, G., Sauro, C. L., Rotella, F., Ravaldi, C., ... Faravelli, C. (2012). Emotional eating in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *53*(3), 245–251. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2011.04.062

- Riese, M. L. (1994). Neonatal temperament in full-term twin pairs discordant for birth weight. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics: JDBP, 15*(5), 342–347. Retrieved from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7868702
- Ross, S. E., Niebling, B. C., & Heckert, T. M. (1999). Sources of stress among college students. *College Student Journal*, *33*(2), 312. Retrieved from: http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1999-03006-021
- Rothbart M.K., & Bates, J. E. (1998). Temperament. In W. Damon (Series Ed.) & N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 3, Social, emotional, and personality development* (5th ed., pp. 105-176). New York: Wiley.
- Ruderman, A. J. (1985). Dysphoric mood and overeating: A test of restraint theory's disinhibition hypothesis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *94*(1), 78–85. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.94.1.78
- Shatford, L. A., & Evans, D. R. (1986). Bulimia as a manifestation of the stress process: A LISREL causal modeling analysis. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *5*(3), 451–473. doi:10.1002/1098-108X(198603)5:3<451::AID-EAT2260050305>3.0.CO;2-D
- Skinner, E., Pitzer, J., & Steele, J. (2013). Coping as part of motivational resilience in school: A multidimensional measure of families, allocations, and profiles of academic coping. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/0013164413485241
- Speakman, J. R. (2008). Thrifty genes for obesity, an attractive but flawed idea, and an alternative perspective: the "drifty gene" hypothesis. *International Journal of Obesity*, *32*(11), 1611–1617. doi:10.1038/ijo.2008.161
- Spoor, S. T. P., Bekker, M. H. J., van Strien, T., & van Heck, G. L. (2007). Relations between negative affect, coping, and emotional eating. *Appetite*, 48(3), 368–376. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.10.005
- Stifter, C. A., Anzman-Frasca, S., Birch, L. L., & Voegtline, K. (2011). Parent use of food to soothe infant/toddler distress and child weight status: An exploratory study. *Appetite*, *57*(3), 693–699. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2011.08.013
- Talbot, L. S. M. (2013). Posttraumatic stress disorder is associated with emotional eating. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 26(4), 521–525. doi:10.1002/jts.21824
- Tanofsky, M. B., Wilfley, D. E., Spurrell, E. B., Welch, R., & Brownell, K. D. (1997). Comparison of men and women with binge eating disorder. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 21(1), 49–54. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199701)21:1<49::AID-EAT6>3.0.CO;2-3

- Thome, J., & L. Espelage, D. (2004). Relations among exercise, coping, disordered eating, and psychological health among college students. *Eating Behaviors*, *5*(4), 337–351. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2004.04.002
- Towbes, L. C., & Cohen, L. H. (1996). Chronic stress in the lives of college students: Scale development and prospective prediction of distress. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 25(2), 199–217. doi:10.1007/BF01537344
- van Strien, T., Frijters, J. E. R., Bergers, G. P. A., & Defares, P. B. (1986). The Dutch eating behavior questionnaire (DEBQ) for assessment of restrained, emotional, and external eating behavior. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *5*(2), 295–315. Retrieved from: http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1997-73229-001
- Van Boven, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2003). Social projection of transient drive states. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(9), 1159–1168. doi:10.1177/0146167203254597
- VanBoven, A. M., & Espelage, D. L. (2006). Depressive symptoms, coping strategies, and disordered eating among college women. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 84(3), 341–348. Retrieved from: http://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ742132
- Verardi, C. E. L., Neiva, C. M., Pessôa Filho, D. M., Miyazaki, M. C. de O. S., Nagamine, K. K., Lobo, A. P. da S., ... Furukawa, M. (2012). Coping strategies among Brazilian professional and amateur football players. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, *12*(4), 413–416.
- Verstuyf, J. (2013). Daily ups and downs in women's binge eating symptoms: The role of basic psychological needs, general self-control, and emotional eating. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 32(3), 335–361. doi:10.1521/jscp.2013.32.3.335
- Vollrath, M. E., Tonstad, S., Rothbart, M. K., & Hampson, S. E. (2011). Infant temperament is associated with potentially obesogenic diet at 18 months. *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity (IJPO): An Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity, 6(2-2)*, e408–414. doi:10.3109/17477166.2010.518240
- Wardle, J. (1987). Eating style: A validation study of the Dutch eating behaviour questionnaire in normal subjects and women with eating disorders. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 31(2), 161–169. doi:10.1016/0022-3999(87)90072-9
- Wardle, J., & Beales, S. (1988). Control and loss of control over eating: An experimental investigation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 97(1), 35–40. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.97.1.35

Wu, T., Dixon, W. E., Dalton, W. T., Tudiver, F., & Liu, X. (2011). Joint effects of child temperament and maternal sensitivity on the development of childhood obesity. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, *15*(4), 469–477. doi:10.1007/s10995-010-0601-z