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# CHAPTER ONE 

## Introduction

## Background and Rationale

Catalysts lower the kinetic barriers that occur along the reaction coordinate, thereby the energy costs associated with the transformation of reactants into more useful products. Ideally, this process is bond specific, resulting in only the desired product in significant concentration. Realizing this obvious economic importance, scientists aggressively pursue insight into the fundamental processes underlying catalysis. To such end, this research focuses on the theoretical calculation of the energy-lowered formation of products that occurs during catalyzed chemical reactions.

Common catalysts, such as enzymes in biological systems and zeolites utilized within the petrochemical industry, enable efficient product formation. However these extended systems are often quite complex making resolved experimental study rather challenging. This complexity arises from interactions of the ongoing reaction with the surrounding environment (i.e. negative catalysts within the system) and the possible emergent properties of the catalyst itself. As such, it is necessary to study catalytic processes in an environment that minimizes such confounding factors. It is also desirable to study catalytic centers that are significantly involved in a wide range of systems. Transition metal ions successfully fill this niche. ${ }^{1}$

This project aims to study organic decomposition reactions where the kinetic barriers to product formation have been considerably reduced. Instrumentation has been
developed where idealized systems can be isolated and the activation energy lowering credited to transition metal ion catalysis can be studied. Computational results can further such experimental results by acting as a benchmark to which the experimental data can be compared. A primary goal of this project is to demonstrate the possible production of green fuel sources from industrial organic byproducts. Ideally, this research concerts the conversion of organic compounds into methane or methanol at reduced activation energies. These low-carbon containing molecules are considered green, as the energy producing combustion of such compounds produce fewer equivalents of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas than traditional fossil fuel sources.

Although applicable to many organic compounds, this research will initially test the decomposition of acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ into fuel sources catalyzed by transition metal cations. Acetic acid is a water-soluble organic acid and has many industrial uses. Over 10 million metric tons of acetic acid are either synthesized or extracted from bacterial fermentation each year. It is prevalently utilized as a solvent in the production of terephthalic acid, a precursor to polyester. It is also utilized as a reagent in the industrial production of paints and adhesives. Such wide spread use, as both reagent and solvent, assures that acetic acid is a byproduct in industrial waste streams.

We propose rxns 1.1-1.3 as possible transition metal ion $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$assisted unimolecular decomposition reactions for acetic acid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{CH}_{4} \\
& \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}+\mathbf{C O}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}
\end{aligned}
$$

(rxn 1.1)
(rxn 1.2)
(rxn 1.3)

These possible reactions are consistent with earlier studies. ${ }^{2-4}$ The neutral products include methane, water, carbon monoxide, and methanol. It is possible that these reactions are competitive and that the resulting neutral products are a mixture of these compounds. Previous results in the laboratory suggest that various transition metal cations are capable of either $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond activation at lowered activation energies, however, the specificity of such reactions remains unclear. ${ }^{5}$ Although the reactions may be competitive, the remainder of this thesis will examine the reaction dynamics of rxn 1.3.

Rxn 1.3 produces carbon monoxide and methanol while reforming the metal cation catalyst. Although carbon monoxide is formed in this reaction, the production of methanol is highly desired as it is an easily transportable fuel source, when compared to methane gas. Methanol can be easily transported to areas where it is not feasible to build a methane pipeline.

## Experimental Research Description

Although this thesis primarily examines the theoretical aspects of the transition metal assisted catalytic decomposition of acetic acid, it is important to understand the experimental methods by which this is accomplished.

Ion/organic reactants in these studies are formed as cold binary clusters under jetcooled conditions. Energy in excess of the reaction activation requirement is provided through laser photon absorption. The quantum of photon energy approximates the total energy of the cluster as absorption occurs in the collisionless environment of the cold, supersonic expansion. Unimolecular decay ensues as the cation selectively activates
bonds within the organic moiety and mediates the formation of products. The resulting fragment ions are selectively detected and their production temporally monitored in a custom time of flight mass spectrometer. The resulting signals combine into waveforms that are analyzed to extract the rate constants for the transition metal ion assisted decomposition reaction. These studies are extended through measurement of the assisted dissociation kinetics of the deuterium labeled isotopologues. Determination of the kinetic isotope effect suggests dynamic information for the unimolecular decomposition reaction.

## Experimental Procedure

In general, a large supersonic source chamber is connected to a custom time of flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS) as shown in Figure 1. The precursor ions are generated as jet-cooled clusters in the source chamber and mass analyzed in the custom TOFMS located orthogonal to the expansion axis. The cluster absorbs laser radiation which causes the complex to dissociate into fragments. This dissociative process occurs on the microsecond timescale. The ensuing fragment ions are selectively detected and temporally sampled in the TOF.

Specifically, the supersonic source chamber is a 120 L vacuum chamber. An external motor rotates a solid metal rod at a rate of 1.1 rpm . A high pressure line is coupled into the vacuum chamber connecting to the source block through a Series 9 General Valve. Momentarily opening the solenoid valve allows high pressure gas (either helium or a helium/argon mixture) doped with the vapor of the organic bonding partner to supersonically expand into the vacuum. As the expansion plume develops, 248 nm laser radiation from a pulsed KrF excimer is focused onto the rotating metal rod, ablating the


Figure 1: Instrumental diagram
metallic surface and seeding neutral and ionic atoms into the expansion. The large pressure drop $\left(10^{9}\right)$ between the static gas reservoir and the vacuum chamber assures that the cluster ions are formed with minimal amounts of internal energy.

The expanding plume traverses $\sim 80 \mathrm{~cm}$, is skimmed twice, and enters between parallel capacitor plates of a Wiley-McLaren, pulsed orthogonal accelerator (OA) situated at the entrance to the TOFMS. As the densest portion of the ion packet enters, the OA is pulsed from ground potential to +1.75 kV , imparting this kinetic energy to the ions. Mass separation occurs as the ions drift through the 1.8 meter field free flight of the TOFMS.

The separated ion packets enter a voltage controlled hemispherical, kinetic energy analyzer (or sector). The potential difference across the halves of the sector can be selected to transmit the full kinetic energy of the ion beam, thus allowing the different ionic species produced in the expansion to strike a Chevron microchannel plate (MCP) detector located at the sector's terminus. Precursor mass spectra can then be acquired and analyzed, determining the identity of the complexes and optimizing their intensity within the beam.

Laser induced dissociation of a single precursor ion results in charged fragment ions that transmit through the sector at different characteristic voltage settings. Although the dissociation event does not significantly affect the fragment's velocity, the transmission voltage changes due to the change in fragment ion mass. To measure the unimolecular decay of a precursor ion, cations within the molecular beam must absorb a photon of energy prior to right angle extraction and mass separation. This is accomplished by guiding a YAG pumped, dye laser beam into the vacuum apparatus through a viewport along the supersonic expansion axis. The ionic molecules within the beam absorb the radiation. The excited precursor ions decay, producing fragment ions as the molecular beam approaches the OA. All fragment ions produced in the expanse between the location of photon absorption and the OA receive the same (full) kinetic energy imparted to the precursor ions during right angle extraction. These fragment ions are indistinguishable from the precursor ions in the parent beam and are therefore not sampled. Only those excited precursor ions that decay within the field free flight of the TOF produce fragments detectable via selective transmission through the sector.

The relative fragment intensity is plotted versus the timing delay between triggering the OA and dye laser pulses. These points combine into a waveform that is analyzed to extract kinetic information from the dissociative reaction. Zero microseconds is defined to be the coincident firing of both the dye laser and the OA. Since the dye laser is temporally scanned to times earlier than the OA trigger pulse, the intensity is plotted versus negative delay values.

# CHAPTER TWO 

Review of Literature

## Unification of Theory and Experiment

The traditional disciplines of chemistry nominally separate theoretical physical chemistry from experimental physical chemistry. Although divided, this separation has become less distinct over the past several decades as theoretical methods have become more refined and as computational techniques have become more readily available to academia. Collaboration between theoreticians and experimentalists has further enabled direct integration of theory into experimental work, and vice versa. ${ }^{6}$ This interplay has provided a unique insight into chemical bonding, assisted in molecular structure determination, helped to establish or confirm chemical concepts, and has prompted further experimental and theoretical investigations. ${ }^{7}$ Combined results most importantly provide a means to clearly elucidate fundamental aspects of the world around us.

## Transition Metal Assisted Decomposition of Organic Molecules

In the realms of organometallic chemistry, theoretical and experimental results have yielded valuable mechanistic and kinetic details about transition metal assisted unimolecular decomposition reactions. ${ }^{8-16}$ It has been seen that in the gas-phase, transition metal cations cleave high energy bonds in a variety of organic molecules. ${ }^{17-21}$ These transition metal cations are capable of bond activation at lowered activation
energies suggesting catalytic behavior, however, the specificity of such reactions remains unclear.

## Acetone Studies

Experimental and theoretical studies examining the gas-phase unimolecular decomposition reaction of $\mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Co})$ with $h_{6^{-}}$and $d_{6^{-}}$-acetone have provided insight into the catalytic properties of transition metals, as well as the kinetic and mechanistic details of this reaction.

A 2009 study by Castleberry et al. monitored the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(h_{6}\right.$-Acetone) $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(h_{6}-\mathrm{Ac}\right)\right]$ unimolecular decomposition into $\mathrm{Ni}^{+} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ resulting from single photon absorption. ${ }^{8}$ A schematic of the dissociative mechanism is displayed in Figure 2.
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Figure 2: Proposed mechanism of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetone (TS: transition state, I: intermediate)

The photon energies utilized to initiate the dissociative reaction were below the energy required to cleave C - $\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds; additionally, the absorbed quantum of energy was
insufficient to directly dissociate the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(h_{6}-\mathrm{Ac}\right)$ complex into separated $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+$acetone fragments. Rather, the absorbed photon promotes an electron into an excited quartet state that intersystem crosses to the high vibrational levels of the ground, doublet electronic state. This provides the necessary energy for dissociation of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(h_{6}-\mathrm{Ac}\right)$ into $\mathrm{Ni}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. Thus the quantum of photon energy represents the internal energy available to the cluster.

This decomposition reaction is experimentally followed in real time. Rate constants between $(1.13 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{5}$ and $(5.5 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ were measured at energies between 18800 and $15600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Expectedly, the rate constant magnitudes increased as the photon energy (or internal energy) was increased. Furthermore, the data indicates that the reaction kinetics is controlled by the formation of two energetically important transition states. Both C-C $\sigma$-bond activation and methyl isomerization (figure 2) are likely rate limiting steps. Early results suggested that the latter was likely to be rate-limiting.

The follow up study by Dee et al. 2009 directly measured the rate-limiting rate constants in the unimolecular decomposition of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(h_{\sigma}-\mathrm{Ac}\right)$ 's deuterated isotopomer $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(d_{6}\right.$-Acetone $)\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(d_{6}-\mathrm{Ac}\right)\right] .{ }^{10}$ By comparing kinetic results, it was seen that deuterium labeling affected both the oxidative addition as well as the reductive elimination. A considerable kinetic isotope effect $\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}} \sim 5.5\right)$ was measured and associated with the reaction rate-limiting. It was determined that the reductive elimination (or the isomerization of the methyl group) was rate limiting. These experimental results are in agreement with recent theoretical results. ${ }^{22}$

A third study examining the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$-assisted decomposition of $h_{6^{-}}$and $d_{6^{\prime}}$ - Ac systems comparatively demonstrated the importance of differences in the low-lying electronic structures of the different transition metals utilized. ${ }^{14}$ Figure 3 compares the low-lying electronic structures of $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$. The reaction dynamics for $\mathrm{Co}^{+}-\mathrm{Acetone}\left[\mathrm{Co}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})\right]$ were unique when compared to those of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$. Although each system likely follows the same overall mechanism, the decomposition kinetics is limited by a different energetically important step. Figure 4 plots the fragment ion intensity sampled during the decomposition of $h_{6}$-acetone by $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$. The dissimilar waveforms presented in Figure 4 indicate significant differences in the unimolecular decay dynamics. In the case of $\mathrm{Co}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac}), \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bond activation is rate-limiting (compared to methyl isomerization for $\left.\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})\right)$. The difference in the kinetics of the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$ reaction was attributed to a


Figure 3: Electronic states of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$
possible spin inversion junction along the potential energy surfaces between the triplet and quintet reaction coordinates. Comparatively the doublet and quartet potential energy surfaces for $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$ system are well separated as suggested by Density Functional

Theory (DFT) calculations acquired for a similar system. ${ }^{15}$ It was observed that fragment production in the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$ system was less than that in $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$, which is consistent with spin orbit coupling (SOC) arguments. It is important to note that the inefficient spin SOC between the triplet and quintet potential energy surfaces for $\mathrm{Co}^{+}(\mathrm{Ac})$ results in decreased production of $\mathrm{Co}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ fragments.


Figure 4: Comparison of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetone performed at similar excitation energies: 16400 and $16100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively

## Acetaldehyde Studies

Two studies, one by Dee et al. 2010 and the second by Chen et al. 2007 Dee et al. 2010, examine the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition reaction of acetaldehyde into $\mathrm{Ni}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+$ $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{10,23}$ Both studies come to similar conclusions regarding the mechanistic details of the reaction. However, it was found that the theoretical results overestimated the activation energy barrier along each reaction coordinate for the system. ${ }^{23}$

The 2007 study by Chen theoretically examined the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition through the utilization of DFT with Becke's hybrid, three-parameter functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (B3LYP). ${ }^{23}$ This functional was employed at the $6-311+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd})$ level
of theory. This approach, along with computation using several smaller basis sets, has consistently been used in the treatment of small, transition metal containing systems. ${ }^{24}$ It is largely accepted that this approach provides sufficiently accurate results for the unimolecular decomposition reactions being examined. ${ }^{25-27}$

The theoretical study provided insights into the mechanistic details of the decarbonylation of acetaldehyde. Results of the study suggested that both C-C $\sigma$-bond and aldehyde C - $\mathrm{H} \sigma$-bond activations result in the production of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$.

In accordance with the theoretical study, experimental results observed that the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$(Acetaldehyde) $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\right.$(Aald) $]$follows two parallel paths. Both paths initiate with the oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$; one across the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bond, while the other is across the aldehyde $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \sigma$-bond. A schematic of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$(Aald) dissociative mechanism is displayed in Figure 5.

Experimental results suggested that the rate-limiting step of the reaction was energy dependent. At higher energy levels, both reaction pathways are available to the system. As such, when the precursor internal energy is greater than $17200 \pm 400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the pathway is rate-limited by methyl isomerization. However, at lower energies, only a single reaction coordinate becomes available. This pathway involves the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$activation of the C - $\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bond and H -migration, forming $\mathrm{Ni}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. This second pathway is available at energies as low as $15600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure 5: Proposed mechanism of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetaldehyde

Two earlier theoretical studies by Zhao et al. investigated the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Cr ) assisted decomposition of acetaldehyde. ${ }^{26-27}$ As seen in the acetone studies, using a different transition metal cation alters the kinetics of the reaction due to differences in the low-lying electronic structures of the cations. The use of other transition metal cations consistently displays similar decarbonylation mechanisms following four key steps: (1) encounter complex formation, (2) C-C $\sigma$-bond activation, (3) H-migration, and (4) nonreactive dissociation. However, the energy required along the reaction coordinate varies by transition metal. ${ }^{26-27}$

## Butanone Studies

Researchers have studied the reaction of the asymmetric ketone, butanone, with the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\right.$But $\left.)\right] .{ }^{15}$ As with acetaldehyde, the dissociative mechanism of $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$(But)
consists of two parallel paths. However, unlike acetaldehyde, where the two reaction pathways converge to ultimately yield the same products $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right), \mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{But})$ dissociation results in the production of three unique complexes. The experimental results indicated the reaction is most likely rate-limited by either $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ethyl insertion or C$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methyl insertion by $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$. Furthermore, the mechanism depicted in Figure 5 was proposed to explain the experimental measurements. Rate constants for the activation of each C-C sigma-bond was derived from the measured rate constants, the product channel intensities, and this mechanism. The rate constant for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \sigma$-bond insertion was slightly higher than that calculated for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ insertion $((1.65 \pm 0.04)$ vs. $(0.66 \pm 0.09) \mathrm{x}$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $18800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). As such, the reaction will more probabilistically occur along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ insertion pathway. This is in agreement with thermodynamic arguments. ${ }^{16}$

A theoretical study of the potential energy surfaces of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$(But) decomposition reaction supplemented experimental findings. ${ }^{(\text {La } 2012)}$ Results confirmed that the two decomposition pathways, depicted as (B) and (C) in Figure 6, were parallel to one another and initiated with the activation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \sigma$-bond by $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$. Furthermore, the three neutral elimination products: ethylene, acetaldehyde, and methane were observed computationally.



Products
Figure 6: Proposed mechanism of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of butanone

## Carboxylic Acid Studies: $M^{+}$-Assisted Decomposition of Acetic Acid

"These acids are not only essential in the biochemistry of living systems but also valuable resources for industrial processes... Carboxylic acids certainly represent the most relevant class of carbonyl compounds in general., ${ }^{28}$ Organic molecules studied previously by the Bellert Research Group, such as acetone, acetaldehyde, and butanone, have primarily been carbonyl compounds. However, the Group has yet to study a carboxylic acid. As such, there is interest in studying the transition metal assisted decomposition of such an organic.

## $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$-Acetic Acid Study

Although numerous reactions between $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$and carboxylic acids, ranging from formic to nonanoic acid, were studied, only acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ and its deuterated $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ counterpart are of primary relevance. A study by Schröder et al. 1994 examined the reaction products, directionalities, and mechanisms of iron(I) $[\mathrm{Fe}+]$ mediated C-H, C-C, and C-O-bond activation of aliphatic carboxylic acids in the gasphase. ${ }^{28}$ Schröder et al. utilized tandem mass spectrometry to measure the unimolecular fragmentation from metastable $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(\right.$Acetic Acid) $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{+}(\mathrm{AA})\right]$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(d_{3}\right.$-Acetic Acid) $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(d_{3}-\mathrm{AA}\right)\right]$ ions. The observed mass differences in the Metastable Ion (MI) Mass Spectra is found in Table 1. These observed mass differences indicate the stable neutral molecules that are lost from the precursor complex due to the dissociative process.

|  | Mass Differences ( $\Delta \mathbf{m}$ amu) Observed in the MI Mass Spectra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precursor | -15 | -16 | -17 | -18 | -19 | -20 | -28 | -30 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$ | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 100 | - |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(d_{3}-\mathrm{AA}\right)$ | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 100 | - |

Table 1. Intensities are given relative to the base peak of $100 \%{ }^{28}$

The $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$mediated decompositions of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ were characterized by an extremely low loss of water ( $2 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively). The preferential loss of HDO from $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(d_{3}-\mathrm{AA}\right)(\Delta \mathrm{m}=-19)$ indicates that dehydration can be described as a 1,2-elimination process of water from the functional group, according to Schröder et al. Although present, the loss of the methyl radical from $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(d_{3}-\mathrm{AA}\right)$ was observed with extremely low yields.

Neither molecular hydrogen nor alkenes are formed from the dissociation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}\left(\mathrm{d}_{3}-\mathrm{AA}\right)$. Both of these channels are open in reactions with carboxylic acids with longer alkyl chains. This is likely due to a competition between initial $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$ coordination to the longer alkyl chains and the carbonyl group. In acetic acid, $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$binding to the methyl group offers little energy benefit and the metal preferentially coordinates to the carbonyl group. This in turn leads to decarbonylation, or the loss of carbon monoxide ( $\Delta=-28$ ), from both isomers the predominant dissociation process. Upon CO loss, the cation reorganizes into an approximate 9:1 mixture of an aquo iron carbene complex $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$relative to a hydroxy insertion complex $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}\right) .{ }^{29-30}$ The importance of these two species will be discussed in terms of the conversion of methane to methanol via a metal oxide catalyst.

## Conversion of Methane to Methanol by Metal Oxides

The efficient catalytic conversion of methane into methanol has been studied for more than half a century due to methanol's potential as an easily transportable fuel source. Many studies have focused on the transition-metal oxide assisted conversion of methane to methanol, as given by rxn 2.1. ${ }^{31-36}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MO}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \tag{rxn}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extensive studies examining the effects of the different transition-metal oxides, $\mathrm{MO}^{+},(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu})$ on methane to methanol conversion have provided molecular geometries of reactants and products, transition states, intermediates, as well as potential energies along the reaction pathway, reaction efficiencies (the ability of the catalyst to convert reactants into desired products), and product branching ratios. ${ }^{31-}$ ${ }^{34}$ Table 2 presents reaction efficiencies $(\phi)$ and product branching ratios derived from the reactions of $\mathrm{MnO}^{+}, \mathrm{FeO}^{+}, \mathrm{CoO}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{NiO}^{+}$with methane. Reaction efficiencies indicate that out of the total number of collisions, a given percent of these collisions will result in the products indicated.

| $\mathbf{M O}^{+}$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ | $\mathbf{M O H}^{+}+\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M C H}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{M}^{+}+\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{MnO}^{+}$ | $40 \%$ | 100 | - | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{FeO}^{+}$ | $20 \%$ | 57 | 2 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{CoO}^{+}$ | $0.5 \%$ | - | - | 100 |
| $\mathrm{NiO}^{+}$ | $20 \%$ | - | - | 100 |

Table 2. Reaction Efficiencies ( $\phi$ ) and Product Branching Ratios of $\mathrm{MO}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{32,34}$

It has been proposed that the formation of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}$, an intermediate of this reaction, plays a key role in the gas-phase conversion of methane to methanol. ${ }^{31-36}$ Along with this key intermediate, three other inserted intermediates have been proposed: $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{+}$, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$, and $\left[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right]^{+} .{ }^{30}$ It should be noted that gasphase experimental evidence exists only for the aquo iron carbene and the hydroxy insertion complex.

In order to examine these intermediates, Schwarz et al. employed reactions between $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ as one of several precursor molecules. ${ }^{30}$ Upon $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$insertion into $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, CO loss was observed; resulting in a mixture of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}$ions. One of this study's main findings is that this dissociative reaction takes place on a relatively complex potential energy surface.

## The Missing Piece

By indicating the ability to convert $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ into a key intermediate found in the conversion of methane to methanol through the use of a metal oxide or transition metal cation $\left(\mathrm{MO}^{+}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, researchers have indicated a potential path intersection between two unique reactions. These two mechanisms are indicated in rxn 2.2 and rxn 2.3.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{MO}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{4} & \rightarrow \mathrm{MO}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}}\right. \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}  \tag{rxn2.2}\\
& \\
\mathbf{M}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} & \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathbf{H}_{3} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M - O H}\right]^{+}+\mathbf{C O} \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COH}\right)+\mathbf{C O} \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathbf{C O}
\end{array}
$$

(rxn 2.3)

This suggests that studying metal ion catalyzed decomposition reactions of acetic acid may have impact on the economically important conversion of methane to methanol though a $\mathrm{MO}^{+}$catalyst. As such, it is of interest to study the dynamics and kinetics of the dissociative reaction of acetic acid, catalyzed by $\mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}$, and Mn$)$. The remainder of this thesis will examine the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetic acid into methanol and carbon monoxide as studied through computational chemistry.

## CHAPTER THREE

Theoretical Studies

## Method of Calculation

Computations were carried out with the Gaussian 03 program package. ${ }^{37}$ Both energies and geometries of the reactants, intermediates, transition states, and products were calculated utilizing Density Functional Theory (DFT) with the hybrid, threeparameter functional of Becke, Lee, Yang and Parr (B3LYP) at the $6-311+G(d, p)$ and 6$311++G(d, p)$ levels of theory. The basis sets used were a compromise between computation accuracy and time. This level of theory has been utilized in similar studies yielding publishable results. ${ }^{25,27}$ Computations using slightly larger basis sets were not done because calculations at both B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-311+G(2df,2pd) for similar systems, $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$-Acetone and $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$-Butanone, led to negligible differences. ${ }^{25,27}$

Furthermore, frequencies were calculated to determine zero point energy corrections and to confirm transition states and local minimas.

## $N i^{+}$-Acetic Acid Decomposition: Results and Discussion

The potential energy surface (PES) for the gas-phase $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$-assisted decomposition of acetic acid was explored through DFT calculations. The mechanism leading to the loss of carbon monoxide and the formation of a $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$-methanol product complex was examined. The proposed mechanism for the reaction is displayed in Figure 7. The coordinate for doublet $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right) \mathrm{Ni}^{+}$is displayed in Figure 8. The energies throughout the
coordinate are relative to the encounter complex (EC), and will be discussed in a chronological manner. Table 3 displays the comparative energies calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) levels of theory. Finally, Figure 9 shows geometries and structural parameters of key structures optimized at the B3LYP/6$311++G(d, p)$ level of theory. Data of the complete parameters, thermochemistry, and frequencies of the optimized structures are located in the Appendix


Figure 7: Proposed mechanism of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetic acid

The ground electronic state bond dissociation energy (BDE) of the reactants is $\sim 17100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This bond likely forms from the electrostatic attraction between the dipole moment of neutral acetic acid $(1.74 \mathrm{D})$ and the charged $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation.

Complexation of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation to the organic, i.e. the formation of an encounter complex (EC), leads to noticeable changes in the acetic acid molecule. The changes primarily include lengthening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ carbonyl double bond, shortening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ -


Figure 8: Doublet coordinate for $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$ decomposition
bond, and shortening of the C-O $\sigma$-bond. The most significant alteration to the molecule is the shortening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$-bond $(-0.0489 \AA)$ and the consequent lengthening of the C O carbonyl double bond ( $+0.0370 \AA$ ). This likely results from the interaction between the cation and the electronegative carboxylic acid functional group. It was initially hypothesized that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation would complex toward the carboxylic group of the organic. However, theoretical calculations consistently placed the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation toward the methyl group of the organic.

Regardless of structure of the EC , it is proposed that $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$preferentially inserts into the C-O $\sigma$-bond. Calculations were performed examining initial insertion into both the C -
$\mathrm{O} \sigma$-bond and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bond. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$-bond activation yielded a smaller barrier height ( $9485.91298 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ versus $14644.8836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) as the reaction progressed through the first

|  | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 + G}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p})$ | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 + + G ( d , p )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Separated Reactants | 16984.4832 | 17083.4662 |
| $\mathbf{E C}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 13829.7549 | 13816.3669 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 9502.59306 | 9485.91298 |
| $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 15832.2414 | 15846.5072 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 11383.7101 | 11384.8075 |
| $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 26170.5938 | 26153.4748 |
| Product Complex $\left(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | 10832.3898 | 10832.6093 |
| Separated Products | 26929.7566 | 27036.6407 |

Table 3. Calculated zero point corrected energies for the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$ reaction coordinate $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
transition state. Upon $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$insertion, the reaction moves through the first of three transition states $\left(\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) . \mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is associated with obvious rupture of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$-bond. Of the three transition states, $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ presents the lowest barrier height, and as such, the formation of $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is not likely a kinetically important step in this dissociative reaction. $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$, as is essential with transition state calculations, presented one imaginary frequency, 205 i.

Once the reaction passes through $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$, intermediate $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is formed. Intermediate $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ presents as a $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$inserted complex, $\sim 9490 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ above the EC. The intermediate exhibits $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ symmetry with a plane defined along the $\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{2}$ axis. A slightly extended $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ carbonyl double bond $(1.195 \AA)$ is also present in this intermediate.

Intermediate $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ progresses to a second intermediate, $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$, through a second transition state $\left(\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) . \mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is located $\sim 15800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ above the EC , and $\sim 1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ below the energy of the separated reactants. This transition state is more energetically demanding than the initial transition state by $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. As such, the less stable
molecule, is characterized by more costly structural alterations. The single methyl group shifts onto the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation forming a tri-coordinate molecule with a transition metal center. The $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ intermediate stabilizes as the carbonyl CO of acetic acid begins to extract from the molecule. The loss of CO from $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ leads to the formation of a hydroxy insertion complex $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}\right)$.

This hydroxy insertion complex is the same intermediate formed in the conversion of methane by $\mathrm{MO}^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu})$ as studied by Schwarz et al. and Yoshizawa et al. ${ }^{28-34}$ The conversion of acetic acid by $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$to the hydroxy insertion complex suggests a potential path intersection between these two, separate reactions.

The final transition state, $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{3}}$, presents the highest barrier height along the reaction coordinate. $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ is situated $12400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ above $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $10400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ above $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2}}$. As such, this transition state is the most energetically demanding and will likely be rate determining. The formation of this transition state involves bond coupling between the methyl and hydroxyl groups.

As the reaction moves from $\mathbf{T S}_{3}$ to products, minor structural changes within the molecule occur. The C-O $\sigma$-bond shortens upon formation of the product complex ($0.605 \AA$ ) while the bond between the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation and remaining oxygen elongates $(+0.121$ Å).

Upon complete dissociation, the reaction regenerates the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$catalyst, as well as methanol and carbon monoxide. Separating these individual products proves to be the most energetically demanding step within the reaction at $\sim 27000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

$\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}: 159.37681$
$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 114.47117$
C²-O2-H: 111.52843

EC


C1-Ni+-C²: 61.03543
$\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 170.13979$
$\mathrm{O}^{2}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}: 163.52316$
$\mathbf{T S}_{2}$

$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{O}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}: 130.1021$

Product Complex/I $\mathbf{I}_{3}$

$0^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}: 85.74617$
$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{O}^{1}: 143.36329$
$\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 72.86288$
$\mathbf{T S}_{1}$

$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{C}^{2}: 97.86526$
$\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 96.58353$
$\mathrm{O}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{C}^{2}: 155.11014$
$\mathbf{I}_{2}$

$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{O}^{1}: 126.18774$
$0^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 122.31260$
C1-C²-O2: 111.49966

$\mathrm{O}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}: 76.01304$
$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{O}^{1}: 139.89310$
$\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-\mathrm{O}^{2}: 131.63296$

## $\mathrm{I}_{1}$


$\mathrm{C}^{1}-\mathrm{O}^{2}-\mathrm{Ni}^{+}: 64.3827$
$\mathrm{TS}_{3}$

## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$

Figure 9: Geometries and structural parameters of key structures optimized at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory

## $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$-Acetic Acid Decomposition: Conclusions

The present theoretical work adds new insight into the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$and acetic acid. The formation of a $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}-$methanol product complex proceeds through six fundamental steps: encounter complex formation, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$-bond activation by $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$, a methyl shift forming a tri-coordinate molecule with a transition metal center, CO loss, bond coupling, and nonreactive dissociation. Theory also suggests that the final steps along the reaction coordinate are the most energetically demanding processes that occur during this dissociative reaction.

The theoretical results illuminate the various challenges associated with the experimental study of this reaction. The first challenge is that the complete catalytic cycle cannot be studied experimentally since it is endothermic by roughly $10,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The technique pioneered by the Bellert Research Group is limited to studying reactions that are exothermic with respect to the separated reactants. Thus, the reaction that may be studied is the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetic acid to yield $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)+\mathrm{CO}$ (the decomposition of the EC to the formation the third intermediate (Product Complex/I/ $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) in Figure 8). However, the formidable barrier height associated with formation of $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ will make even the study of the "catalytic half cycle" nearly impossible. The theory indicates that photon energies in excess of $26000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are required to overcome this energetically demanding step. Supplying this amount of energy will likely result in reflection along the reaction coordinate which is simply the direct dissociation of the EC into $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$.

Altering one's perspective from driving the reaction forward toward decomposition, which is experimentally unlikely, to driving an association reaction
(combining CO and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ with a $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$catalyst to form acetic acid) provides an alternative direction for future experimental studies.

## CHAPTER FOUR

Conclusion

## General Conclusions

This study presents the utility of theoretical computations as a tool to preface experimental examination. Here, Density Functional Theory suggests that the barrier heights of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetic acid reaction are too formidable to permit the experimental study of the forward reaction. As such, this saves time, money, and other laboratory resources. Moreover, the theoretical results indicate that the reverse reaction shows potential for experimental study. Thus, the combination of carbon monoxide and methanol to form acetic acid may be facilitated by a $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$cation. Such association reactions have yet to be attempted by the Bellert Research Group and may provide a new direction for future research.

Additionally, the theoretical approach provides atomistic detail to reaction coordinates. As such, key intermediates can be identified; these are proposed to play roles in presumably unrelated reactions. Thus, these points where reaction pathways intersect indicate stable intermediate structures that may be common to multiple reaction coordinates. Here theory suggests that the hydroxyl metal inserted complex is a common point between the $\mathrm{Ni}^{+}$assisted decomposition of acetic acid and the industrially important conversion of methane to methanol via a $\mathrm{NiO}^{+}$catalyst.

Finally, the synergistic union of theory and experiment can provide a far more complete phenomenological description than either can muster independently. Theory
provides significant reaction detail but must be verified through experimental study. Experimental observations alone lack specificity of the reaction coordinate. Their combination provides the complete picture and the results of this thesis are a step toward that direction.

APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

Computational data at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory

## ACETIC $\mathrm{ACID}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Final Optimized Structure

| ! Name | e Definition | Value | Derivative Info. | ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.2049 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R2 | R(1,3) | 1.504 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,7)$ | 1.3587 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R4 | $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.0875 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R5 | R $(3,5)$ | 1.0924 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R6 | $\mathrm{R}(3,6)$ | 1.0924 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R7 | $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 0.9691 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A1 | A( $2,1,3$ ) | 126.1914 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A2 | A( $2,1,7)$ | 122.3154 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A3 | A(3,1,7) | 111.4932 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A4 | A(1,3,4) | 109.5843 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A5 | A(1,3,5) | 109.833 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A6 | A(1,3,6) | 109.8309 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A7 | $\mathrm{A}(4,3,5)$ | 110.0844 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A8 | A(4,3,6) | 110.083 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A9 | A(5,3,6) | 107.3978 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A10 L | L(1,7,8,3,-1) | 179.5697 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A11 | L(1,7,8,3,-2) | 359.9994 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D1 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,4)$ | 0.0154 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D2 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,5)$ | 121.0657 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D3 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,6)$ | -121.032 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D4 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,4)$ | -179.9852 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D5 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,5)$ | -58.9348 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D6 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,6)$ | 58.9675 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |

## ACETIC ACID (CH33 COOH )

Thermochemistry

Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Molecular mass: 60.02113 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

|  | $1 \quad 2$ | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES -- |  | 159.32265 | 191.03536 |
| X | 0.99828 | -0.05864 | 0.00000 |
| Y | 0.05864 | 0.99828 | 0.00000 |
| Z | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1.00000 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) $0.54364 \quad 0.45339 \quad 0.25535$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rotational constants (GHZ): } & 11.32759 & 9.44716 & 5.32058\end{array}$
Zero-point vibrational energy 161440.3 (Joules/Mol)
38.58517 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 4 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Vibrational temperatures: } & 81.67 & 611.10 & 781.22 & 843.07 & 951.53\end{array}$
(Kelvin) $\quad 1236.21 \quad 1437.241535 .471733 .171916 .63$
2025.252117 .842123 .892616 .054390 .45
4475.914548 .085408 .79

Zero-point correction= 0.061489 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.066094
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.067038
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.034103
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -229.103339
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -229.098734
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -229.097790
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\quad-229.130725$

|  | $\mathrm{E}($ Thermal $)$ | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 41.475 | 14.347 | 69.318 |
| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 38.196 |


| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 23.850 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vibrational | 39.697 | 8.385 | 7.272 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.596 | 1.975 | 4.567 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.787 | 1.416 | 0.876 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.898 | 1.155 | 0.559 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.943 | 1.062 | 0.474 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | Ln(Q) |
| Total Bot | $0.205928 \mathrm{D}-15$ | -15.686284 | -36.119003 |
| Total V=0 | $0.395193 \mathrm{D}+13$ | 12.596809 | 29.005225 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.309767 \mathrm{D}-27$ | -27.508965 | -63.341733 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.363921 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.561007 | 1.291767 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.411907 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.385201 | -0.886958 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.290969 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.536153 | -1.234538 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.258499 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.587542 | -1.352865 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.594467 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.774128 | 1.782496 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.417340 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.620490 | 1.428731 |
| Vib (V=0) 2 | $0.114782 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.059873 | 0.137862 |
| Vib (V=0) 3 | $0.107850 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.032820 | 0.075572 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.106287 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.026480 | 0.060972 |
| Electronic | $0.100000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| Translational | $0.182773 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.261911 | 16.721169 |
| Rotational | $0.363722 \mathrm{D}+05$ | 4.560770 | 10.501561 |

# ACETIC ACID ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ 

Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
56.7646
424.7363
542.9747
585.9641
661.3469
859.2111
998.9321
1067.2056
1204.6136
1332.1290
1407.6208
1471.9719
1476.1796
1818.2494
3051.5219
3110.9196
3161.0749
3759.3027

ENCOUNTER COMPLEX $\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$
Final Optimized Structure

| ! Name | Definition | Value | Derivative Info. | ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.2419 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.4885 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,7)$ | 1.3098 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R4 | $\mathrm{R}(2,9)$ | 1.8873 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R5 | $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.087 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R6 | $\mathrm{R}(3,5)$ | 1.0933 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R7 | $\mathrm{R}(3,6)$ | 1.0933 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R8 | $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 0.9724 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A1 | $\mathrm{A}(2,1,3)$ | 125.016 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A2 | A $(2,1,7)$ | 120.5128 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A3 | A(3,1,7) | 114.4712 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A4 | A(1,2,9) | 159.3769 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A5 | A(1,3,4) | 111.1303 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A6 | A(1,3,5) | 109.1678 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A7 | A(1,3,6) | 109.1603 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A8 | A(4,3,5) | 110.18 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A9 | $\mathrm{A}(4,3,6)$ | 110.1799 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A10 | A(5,3,6) | 106.9201 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A11 | A(1,7,8) | 111.5284 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D1 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,2,9)$ | -0.0198 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D2 D | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,2,9)$ | -179.9808 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D3 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,4)$ | 0.0315 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D4 D | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,5)$ | 121.7595 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D5 D | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,6)$ | -121.6911 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D6 D | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,4)$ | -180.0053 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D7 D | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,5)$ | -58.2774 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D8 | $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,6)$ | 58.272 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D9 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,7,8)$ | -0.0176 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D10 D | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,7,8)$ | -179.9825 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ |  |

## ENCOUNTER COMPLEX $\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 9 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 117.95648 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

| 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES | 2 | 187.469201207 .301631383 .66253 |  |
| X | 0.99973 | -0.02338 | 0.00000 |
| Y | 0.02338 | 0.99973 | 0.00000 |
| Z | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1.00000 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) $\quad 0.46202 \quad 0.07174 \quad 0.06260$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad 9.62687 \quad 1.49486 \quad 1.30432$
Zero-point vibrational energy 164329.2 (Joules/Mol)
39.27562 (Kcal/Mol)

Warning -- explicit consideration of 7 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Vibrational temperatures: } & 38.94 & 75.86 & 89.37 & 412.23 & 659.82\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { (Kelvin) } & 803.60 & 845.80 & 977.48 & 1312.90 & 1484.98\end{array}$
1528.761774 .971997 .452096 .182103 .20
2104.272437 .564391 .084474 .244560 .82 5358.99

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.062590 (Hartree/Particle) 0.069266
0.070210
0.028424
-1737.140641
-1737.133964
-1737.133020
-1737.174806

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 43.465 | 19.713 | 87.946 |


| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 40.210 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 27.240 |
| Vibrational | 41.688 | 13.752 | 19.118 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.593 | 1.984 | 6.034 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.596 | 1.977 | 4.712 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.597 | 1.972 | 4.389 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.684 | 1.699 | 1.494 |
| Vibration 5 | 0.817 | 1.342 | 0.770 |
| Vibration 6 | 0.914 | 1.121 | 0.527 |
| Vibration 7 | 0.945 | 1.058 | 0.471 |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| Total Bot | 0.842878D-13 | -13.074235 | -30.104539 |
| Total V=0 | $0.518757 \mathrm{D}+16$ | 15.714964 | 36.185041 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.417794 \mathrm{D}-26$ | -26.379037 | -60.739978 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.765113 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.883725 | 2.034853 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.391954 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.593235 | 1.365973 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.332354 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.521601 | 1.201030 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.668716 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.174758 | -0.402395 |
| Vib (Bot) 5 | $0.371319 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.430253 | -0.990695 |
| Vib (Bot) 6 | $0.278670 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.554910 | -1.277727 |
| Vib (Bot) 7 | $0.257173 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.589775 | -1.358007 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.257135 \mathrm{D}+03$ | 2.410162 | 5.549602 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.816745 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.912086 | 2.100157 |
| Vib (V=0) 2 | $0.445130 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.648487 | 1.493196 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 3$ | $0.386094 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.586693 | 1.350910 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.133497 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.125473 | 0.288912 |
| Vib (V=0) 5 | $0.112280 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.050302 | 0.115824 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 6$ | $0.107241 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.030362 | 0.069912 |
| Vib (V=0) 7 | $0.106226 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.026231 | 0.060400 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.503544 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.702038 | 17.734598 |
| Rotational | $0.200325 \mathrm{D}+06$ | 5.301734 | 12.207694 |

# ENCOUNTER COMPLEX $\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ <br> Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 

27.0650
52.7274
62.1179
286.5121
458.5964
558.5283
587.8583
679.3816
912.5111
1032.1159
1062.5415
1233.6657
1388.2963
1456.9173
1461.8019
1462.5427
1694.1885
3051.9583
3109.7556
3169.9291
3724.6878

## $\mathrm{TS}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Final Optimized Structure
! Optimized Parameters !

| Name Definition | TS | Reactant | Prod |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 R(1,2) | 1.1733 | 1.2419 | $1.1953-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! R2 R(1,3) | 1.4676 | 1.4885 | $1.463-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! R3 R (1,7) | 2.232 | 1.3098 | $3.2479-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! R4 R(2,9) | 2.1939 | 1.8873 | $1.9544-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0001 |  |
| ! R5 R $(3,4)$ | 1.0954 | 1.087 | $1.0934-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  |  |
| ! R6 R $(3,5)$ | 1.0872 | 1.0933 | $1.0955-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! R7 R $(3,6)$ | 1.097 | 1.0933 | $1.0954-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  |  |
| ! R8 R(7,8) | 0.9695 | 0.9724 | $0.9667-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  |  |
| ! R9 R $(1,9)$ | 1.9429 | 3.0809 | $1.862-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  |  |
| ! R10 R(7,9) | 1.8113 | 4.0876 | $1.6976-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  |  |
| ! A1 A(2,1,3) | 143.3633 | 125.0161 | $139.8931-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A2 A(2,1,7) | 115.4549 | 120.5128 | $99.0087-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A3 A(3,1,7) | 96.4156 | 114.4712 | $121.0981-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A4 A( $1,2,9$ ) | 62.0245 | 159.3768 | 67.5832 -DE/DX = | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A5 A(1,3,4) | 107.6265 | 111.1303 | $109.7212-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A6 A( $1,3,5$ ) | 111.322 | 109.1679 | $108.546-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A7 A(1,3,6) | 106.6529 | 109.1603 | $108.6062-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A8 A $(4,3,5)$ | 112.2996 | 110.18 | $111.2421-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A9 A(4,3,6) | 109.5709 | 110.1799 | $111.2728-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A10 A(5,3,6) | 109.1984 | 106.9201 | $107.358-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| A11 A(1,7,8) | 122.1268 | 111.5284 | $107.4438-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| A12 A(3,1,9) | 130.1401 | 112.5552 | $144.0938-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A13 A(8,7,9) | 114.6141 | 78.0611 | $132.8151-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A14 A(1,9,7) | 72.8629 | 13.559 | $131.633-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! A15 A(2,9,7) | 93.7178 | 5.3967 | $168.0366-$ DE/DX $=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D1 D $(3,1,2,9)$ | -169.5044 | -0.0196 - | -179.9021-DE/DX = | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D2 D(7,1,2,9) | 42.558 | -179.9808 | $0.0336-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! D3 D (2,1,3,4) | 48.3602 | 0.0315 | $-0.3136-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| D4 $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,5)$ | 171.8243 | 121.7594 | $121.4375-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| D5 $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,6)$ | -69.1496 - | -121.6912-1 | -122.1403 -DE/DX = | $=0.0$ |  |
| D6 $\mathrm{D}(7,1,3,4)$ | -160.4775 | 179.9947 | $179.7606-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D7 D $(7,1,3,5)$ | -37.0134 | -58.2773 | $-58.4883-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D8 $\quad$ ( $7,1,3,6$ ) | 82.0128 | 58.272 | $57.9339-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! D9 D (2,1,7,8) | 39.4897 | -0.0175-1 | -179.9663-DE/DX = | $=0.0$ |  |
| D10 D(3,1,7,8) | -121.9217 | -179.9826 | $6-0.0148-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D11 D(9,1,3,4) | -117.8933 | 3 0.0269 | $179.8484-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D12 D(9,1,3,5) | 5.5708 | 121.7548 | $-58.4005-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |

```
! D13 D(9,1,3,6) 124.597 -121.6958 58.0217 -DE/DX = 0.0 !
    !D14 D(3,1,9,7) -60.1262 179.96 -0.1924-DE/DX = 0.0 !
    !D15 D(8,7,9,1) -113.4236 179.978 0.1522 -DE/DX = 0.0 !
! D16 D(8,7,9,2) -88.5346 179.9438 0.3952 -DE/DX = 0.0 !
```


## $\mathrm{TS}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 9 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 117.95648 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

|  | $1 \quad 2$ | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES -- 320.41789583 .58586758 .87555 |  |  |  |
| X | 0.99852 | 0.03953 | -0.03728 |
| Y | -0.03865 | 0.99896 | 0.02400 |
| Z | 0.03819 | -0.02252 | 0.99902 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 0.27032 & 0.14842 & 0.11413\end{array}$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad \begin{array}{llll}5.63246 & 3.09250 & 2.37818\end{array}$
1 imaginary frequencies ignored.
Zero-point vibrational energy 153152.0 (Joules/Mol) 36.60420 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 8 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Vibrational temperatures: $\begin{array}{lllllll}135.12 & 186.01 & 284.89 & 372.95 & 606.57\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { (Kelvin) } & 622.49 & 733.67 & 892.23 & 1116.21 & 1308.62\end{array}$
$1415.361524 .721964 .04 \quad 2038.68 \quad 2067.10$
2784.894350 .954453 .614562 .495419 .26

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
0.058333 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.064792

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.065736

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= 0.026884

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
-1737.077689
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
-1737.071230
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
-1737.070285
-1737.109137

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 40.658 | 20.687 | 81.771 |


| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 40.210 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 26.453 |
| Vibrational | 38.880 | 14.725 | 13.729 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.603 | 1.954 | 3.577 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.612 | 1.924 | 2.957 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.637 | 1.843 | 2.151 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.668 | 1.747 | 1.667 |
| Vibration 5 | 0.784 | 1.423 | 0.887 |
| Vibration 6 | 0.794 | 1.399 | 0.850 |
| Vibration 7 | 0.865 | 1.228 | 0.634 |
| Vibration 8 | 0.980 | 0.989 | 0.416 |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| Total Bot | $0.430676 \mathrm{D}-12$ | -12.365850 | -28.473421 |
| Total V=0 | $0.291867 \mathrm{D}+15$ | 14.465186 | 33.307321 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.317132 \mathrm{D}-25$ | -25.498760 | -58.713065 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.218786 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.340019 | 0.782923 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.157719 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.197885 | 0.455646 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.100775 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.003353 | 0.007720 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.749611 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.125164 | -0.288201 |
| Vib (Bot) 5 | $0.415986 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.380921 | -0.877103 |
| Vib (Bot) 6 | $0.401887 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.395896 | -0.911584 |
| Vib (Bot) 7 | $0.319457 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.495588 | -1.141133 |
| Vib (Bot) 8 | $0.235791 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.627473 | -1.444810 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.214919 \mathrm{D}+02$ | 1.332275 | 3.067678 |
| $\operatorname{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 1$ | $0.274427 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.438426 | 1.009513 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 2$ | $0.215455 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.333357 | 0.767582 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 3$ | $0.162497 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.210846 | 0.485490 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 4$ | $0.140106 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.146458 | 0.337232 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 5$ | $0.115042 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.060856 | 0.140126 |
| Vib (V=0) 6 | $0.114149 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.057473 | 0.132337 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 7$ | $0.109334 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.038755 | 0.089238 |
| Vib (V=0) 8 | $0.105281 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.022349 | 0.051461 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.503544 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.702038 | 17.734598 |
| Rotational | $0.134847 \mathrm{D}+06$ | 5.129842 | 11.811899 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$
Frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
-205.1081
93.9102
129.2817
198.0116
259.2105
421.5902
432.6528
509.9266
620.1294
775.8089
909.5399
983.7240
1059.7371
1365.0788
1416.9534
1436.7073
1935.5954
3024.0675
3095.4191
3171.0922
3766.5755

$$
\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)
$$

Final Optimized Structure

| ! Name | e Definition | Value | Derivative | Info. | ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.1953 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.463 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R3 | $\mathrm{R}(1,9)$ | 1.862 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R4 | R $(3,4)$ | 1.0934 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R5 | R $(3,5)$ | 1.0955 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R6 | $\mathrm{R}(3,6)$ | 1.0954 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R7 | $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 0.9667 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! R8 | $\mathrm{R}(7,9)$ | 1.6976 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A1 | A( $2,1,3$ ) | 139.8931 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A2 | A(2,1,9) | 76.013 | -DE/DX = |  | ! |
| ! A3 | A( $3,1,9$ ) | 144.0938 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A4 | A(1,3,4) | 109.7212 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A5 | A(1,3,5) | 108.546 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A6 | A(1,3,6) | 108.6062 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A7 | A(4,3,5) | 111.2421 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | $!$ |
| ! A8 | A $(4,3,6)$ | 111.2728 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! A9 | A(5,3,6) | 107.358 | -DE/DX = |  | ! |
| ! A10 | A(8,7,9) | 132.815 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | $!$ |
| ! A11 | A(1,9,7) | 131.633 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! D1 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,4)$ | -0.3136 | -DE/DX = |  | ! |
| ! D2 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,5)$ | 121.4375 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D3 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,6)$ | -122.1403 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D4 | $\mathrm{D}(9,1,3,4)$ | 179.8484 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D5 | $\mathrm{D}(9,1,3,5)$ | -58.4005 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! D6 | $\mathrm{D}(9,1,3,6)$ | 58.0217 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! D7 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,9,7)$ | 179.9151 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |
| ! D8 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,9,7)$ | -0.1924 | -DE/DX = |  | ! |
| ! D9 | $\mathrm{D}(8,7,9,1)$ | 0.1521 | -DE/DX = | 0.0 | ! |

## $\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 9 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 117.95648 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

| 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | 3

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 0.40032 & 0.11777 & 0.09209\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational constants (GHZ): } & 8.34138 & 2.45403 & 1.91879\end{array}$
Zero-point vibrational energy 153959.4 (Joules/Mol)
36.79717 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 8 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Vibrational temperatures: $105.11 \quad 145.87163 .36$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { (Kelvin) } & 548.96 & 572.32 & 796.67 & 931.52 & 1124.08\end{array}$
$1336.571453 .601573 .47 \quad 1960.58 \quad 2032.98$
2061.602635 .604348 .194450 .454495 .35
5487.86

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.058640 (Hartree/Particle) 0.065768
0.066712
0.026173
-1737.097420
-1737.090292
-1737.089348
-1737.129887

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 41.270 | 22.414 | 85.322 |

E (Thermal)
41.270
85.322

| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 40.210 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 26.506 |
| Vibrational | 39.492 | 16.452 | 17.227 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.599 | 1.967 | 4.069 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.604 | 1.948 | 3.428 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.607 | 1.938 | 3.208 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.678 | 1.716 | 1.552 |
| Vibration 5 | 0.684 | 1.700 | 1.498 |
| Vibration 6 | 0.751 | 1.510 | 1.033 |
| Vibration 7 | 0.764 | 1.475 | 0.971 |
| Vibration 8 | 0.909 | 1.132 | 0.537 |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| Total Bot | $0.914693 \mathrm{D}-12$ | -12.038725 | -27.720188 |
| Total V=0 | $0.858538 \mathrm{D}+15$ | 14.933759 | 34.386252 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.655859 \mathrm{D}-25$ | -25.183189 | -57.986436 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.282203 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.450561 | 1.037455 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.202369 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.306145 | 0.704924 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.180252 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.255880 | 0.589185 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.695152 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.157920 | -0.363625 |
| Vib (Bot) 5 | $0.670286 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.173740 | -0.400050 |
| Vib (Bot) 6 | $0.473358 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.324810 | -0.747903 |
| Vib (Bot) 7 | $0.448800 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.347947 | -0.801178 |
| Vib (Bot) 8 | $0.282409 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.549121 | -1.264399 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.615595 \mathrm{D}+02$ | 1.789295 | 4.120004 |
| $\operatorname{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 1$ | $0.336598 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.527111 | 1.213719 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 2$ | $0.258455 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.412384 | 0.949550 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 3$ | $0.237058 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.374855 | 0.863135 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 4$ | $0.135629 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.132353 | 0.304754 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 5$ | $0.133623 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.125882 | 0.289854 |
| Vib (V=0) 6 | $0.118853 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.075009 | 0.172714 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 7$ | $0.117188 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.068883 | 0.158608 |
| Vib (V=0) 8 | $0.107424 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.031102 | 0.071616 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.503544 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.702038 | 17.734598 |
| Rotational | $0.138483 \mathrm{D}+06$ | 5.141397 | 11.838503 |

# $\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ 

Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ )
73.0524
101.3851
113.5385
277.0097
285.9305
381.5495
397.7833
553.7109
647.4377
781.2768
928.9617
1010.3023
1093.6195
1362.6731
1412.9962
1432.8835
1831.8325
3022.1486
3093.2229
3124.4252
3814.2572

## $\mathrm{TS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Final Optimized Structure

| $\begin{gathered} \text {-------------------------- } \\ !\text { Optimized Parameters ! } \text { (Angstroms and Degrees) ! } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! Name Definition | TS | Reactant | Product Derivative | Info. | ! |
| ! R1 R(1,2) | 1.1327 | 1.1953 | $1.1168-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R2 R(1,3) | 1.9384 | 1.463 | $2.9612-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R3 R $(1,9)$ | 1.7683 | 1.862 | $1.9606-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0$. | 0.0001 | ! |
| ! R4 R $(2,9)$ | 2.8725 | 1.9544 | $3.0764-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R5 R $(3,4)$ | 1.0875 | 1.0934 | $1.0924-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R6 R $(3,5)$ | 1.0994 | 1.0955 | $1.084-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R7 R(3,6) | 1.0961 | 1.0954 | $1.0882-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R8 R(7,8) | 0.9679 | 0.9667 | $0.9679-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R9 R(7,9) | 1.7102 | 1.6976 | $1.6947-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! R10 R(3,9) | 2.0242 | 3.1654 | $1.9692-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! A1 A( $2,1,3$ ) | 130.4618 | 139.8931 | $139.6638-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0001 |  |
| ! A2 A(3,1,9) | 66.0133 | 144.0938 | 41.2109 -DE/DX = | = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A3 A(1,3,4) | 87.2702 | 109.7212 | $78.7616-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! A4 A(1,3,5) | 115.0628 | 108.546 | $149.2121-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A5 A(1,3,6) | 115.0247 | 108.6062 | $80.9973-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | -0.0001 |  |
| ! A6 A(4,3,5) | 110.106 | 111.2421 | $113.8477-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A7 A(4,3,6) | 110.8174 | 111.2728 | $115.1178-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A8 A(5,3,6) | 115.0929 | 107.358 | $114.5772-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A9 A(8,7,9) | 133.9358 | 132.8151 | $133.2659-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A10 A(1,9,7) | 127.7863 | 131.633 | $155.121-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A11 A(2,9,7) | 121.3832 | 168.0366 | $154.0533-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | -0.0001 |  |
| ! A12 A(4,3,9) | 140.1114 | 129.9006 | 98.6453 -DE/DX = | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A13 A(5,3,9) | 87.6831 | 97.3064 | $108.2201-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! A14 A(6,3,9) | 91.416 | 97.25781 | $104.3848-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | -0.0001 |  |
| ! A15 A(1,9,3) | 61.0354 | 15.7267 | $97.7959-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A16 A(3,9,7) | 170.1398 | 115.9063 | 96.5815-DE/DX = | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A17 L(2,1,9,3,-1) | 196.4751 | 283.9869 | 180.8747 -DE/DX = | $=0.0001$ |  |
| ! A18 L (2,1,9,3,-2) | 180.2813 | 3179.838 | $184.496-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D1 D(2,1,3,4) | 3.465 | -0.3136 | $66.9624-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ |  | ! |
| ! D2 D (2,1,3,5) | 114.4193 | 121.4375 | $-174.8842-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ |  |
| ! D3 $\mathrm{D}(2,1,3,6)$ | -108.275 | -122.1403 | $-51.0496-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D4 $\mathrm{D}(9,1,3,4)$ | -176.8163 | 179.8484 | $-117.5336-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D5 D(9,1,3,5) | -65.862 | -58.4005 | $0.6198-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 | ! |
| ! D6 D(9,1,3,6) | 71.4436 | 58.0217 | $124.4543-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D7 D(3,1,9,7) | -174.713 | -0.1924 - | $-124.765-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D8 $\mathrm{D}(8,7,9,1)$ | -8.4905 | 0.1522 | $117.5608-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 | ! |


| ! D9 D(8,7,9,2) | -7.8974 | 0.3952 | $116.84-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 | $!$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! D10 D(4,3,9,1) | 4.9625 | -0.186 | $61.6101-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=$ | 0.0 |  |
| ! D11 D (4,3,9,7) | 159.796 | 179.6541 | -138.7488-DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D12 D(5,3,9,1) | 124.176 | 125.5005 | -179.666 -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D13 D(5,3,9,7) | -80.9906 | -54.6594 | -20.0249 -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D14 D (6,3,9,1) | -120.7643 | -125.8642 | -57.2224-DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D15 D(6,3,9,7) | 34.0692 | 53.9759 | 102.4187 -DE/DX = | 0.0 |  |
| ! D16 D(8,7,9,3) | -160.4054 | 40.0942 | -7.424 -DE/DX = |  |  |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry

Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 9 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 117.95648 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

|  | 12 | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES -- 263.06597658 .82524908 .86043 |  |  |  |
| X | 0.99630 | 0.08592 | 0.00056 |
| Y | -0.08592 | 0.99630 | 0.00223 |
| Z | -0.00036 | -0.00227 | 1.00000 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) $0.32925 \quad 0.13147 \quad 0.09530$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad \begin{array}{llll}6.86041 & 2.73933 & 1.98572\end{array}$
1 imaginary frequencies ignored.
Zero-point vibrational energy 146420.5 (Joules/Mol) 34.99534 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 9 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Vibrational temperatures: $\begin{array}{llllll}65.46 & 158.36 & 191.81 & 450.53 & 518.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { (Kelvin) } & 535.13 & 573.39 & 761.22 & 879.93 & 1046.56\end{array}$
$1073.071229 .961765 .692005 .56 \quad 2050.80$
3132.974292 .634458 .714562 .775468 .08

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.055769 (Hartree/Particle)
0.062885
0.063829
0.023152
-1737.068439
-1737.061323
-1737.060378
-1737.101055

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 39.461 | 22.687 | 85.612 |
| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 40.210 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 26.557 |
| Vibrational | 37.684 | 16.725 | 17.467 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.595 | 1.979 | 5.004 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.606 | 1.941 | 3.268 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.613 | 1.920 | 2.898 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.701 | 1.649 | 1.346 |
| Vibration 5 | 0.735 | 1.555 | 1.122 |
| Vibration 6 | 0.744 | 1.530 | 1.072 |
| Vibration 7 | 0.765 | 1.473 | 0.968 |
| Vibration 8 | 0.884 | 1.186 | 0.589 |
| Vibration 9 | 0.971 | 1.007 | 0.430 |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| Total Bot | $0.224265 \mathrm{D}-10$ | -10.649238 | -24.520776 |
| Total V=0 | $0.100577 \mathrm{D}+16$ | 15.002498 | 34.544529 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.156741 \mathrm{D}-23$ | -23.804818 | -54.812619 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.454567 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.657598 | 1.514175 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.186076 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.269690 | 0.620985 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.152791 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.184097 | 0.423898 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.602769 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.219849 | -0.506222 |
| Vib (Bot) 5 | $0.509095 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.293201 | -0.675121 |
| Vib (Bot) 6 | $0.488840 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.310834 | -0.715721 |
| Vib (Bot) 7 | $0.447719 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.348995 | -0.803590 |
| Vib (Bot) 8 | $0.302538 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.519220 | -1.195548 |
| Vib (Bot) 9 | $0.241242 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.617547 | -1.421954 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.702939 \mathrm{D}+02$ | 1.846918 | 4.252686 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.507309 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.705272 | 1.623949 |
| Vib (V=0) 2 | $0.242677 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.385028 | 0.886559 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 3$ | $0.210764 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.323796 | 0.745567 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.128315 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.108279 | 0.249321 |
| Vib (V=0) 5 | $0.121357 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.084064 | 0.193564 |
| Vib (V=0) 6 | $0.119926 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.078913 | 0.181704 |
| Vib (V=0) 7 | $0.117116 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.068615 | 0.157992 |
| Vib (V=0) 8 | $0.108441 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.035192 | 0.081031 |
| Vib (V=0) 9 | $0.105516 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.023317 | 0.053688 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.503544 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.702038 | 17.734598 |
| Rotational | $0.142073 \mathrm{D}+06$ | 5.152512 | 11.864098 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$
Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
-243.4563
45.4959
110.0673
133.3157
313.1345
360.0261
371.9377
398.5276
529.0782
611.5796
727.3966
745.8224
854.8690
1227.2176
1393.9339
1425.3805
2177.5233
2983.5288
3098.9648
3171.2895
3800.5107

## $\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Final Optimized Structure

| ! Name Definition | Value | Derivative Info. | ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 R(1,2) | 1.1168 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R2 R(1,9) | 1.9606 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R3 R $(3,4)$ | 1.0924 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R4 R(3,5) | 1.084 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R5 R $(3,6)$ | 1.0882 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R6 R $(3,9)$ | 1.9692 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R7 R(7,8) | 0.9679 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R8 R $(7,9)$ | 1.6947 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | $!$ |
| $!\mathrm{A} 1 \quad \mathrm{~A}(4,3,5)$ | 113.8477 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A2 A(4,3,6) | 115.1178 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| $!\mathrm{A} 3 \quad \mathrm{~A}(4,3,9)$ | 98.6453 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A4 A(5,3,6) | 114.5772 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A5 A(5,3,9) | 108.2201 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A6 A(6,3,9) | 104.3848 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A7 A(8,7,9) | 133.2659 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A8 $\mathrm{A}(1,9,3)$ | 97.7959 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A9 A(1,9,7) | 155.121 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A10 A(3,9,7) | 96.5815 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| A11 L(2,1,9,3,-1) | 180.8747 | -DE/DX $=0.0001$ |  |
| ! A12 L(2,1,9,3,-2) | 184.496 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D1 D (4,3,9,1) | 61.6101 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D2 $\quad \mathrm{D}(4,3,9,7)$ | -138.7488 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D3 D ( $5,3,9,1)$ | -179.666 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D4 D(5,3,9,7) | -20.0249 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D5 D (6,3,9,1) | -57.2224 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D6 D (6,3,9,7) | 102.4187 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D7 D (8,7,9,1) | 117.5608 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D8 D(8,7,9,3) | -7.424 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |

## $\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 3 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 8 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 9 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 117.95648 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

|  | 1 2 | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES -- 227.90705839 .184721032 .44143 |  |  |  |
| X | 0.99979 | -0.01913 | -0.00775 |
| Y | 0.01914 | -0.99982 | 0.00106 |
| Z | 0.00772 | -0.00121 | 0.99997 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 0.38004 & 0.10321 & 0.08389\end{array}$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad \begin{array}{llll}7.91876 & 2.15059 & 1.74803\end{array}$
Zero-point vibrational energy 148708.5 (Joules/Mol)
35.54219 (Kcal/Mol)

Warning -- explicit consideration of 9 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Vibrational temperatures: $\begin{array}{lllllll}122.89 & 152.07 & 154.39 & 291.35 & 433.31\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { (Kelvin) } & 457.73 & 529.00 & 570.81 & 648.12 & 998.31\end{array}$
$1026.281077 .461145 .891711 .46 \quad 2021.82$
2072.543311 .304374 .684568 .784640 .95 5461.87

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.056640 (Hartree/Particle)
0.064399
0.065344
0.023704
-1737.088773
-1737.081014
-1737.080070
-1737.121709

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 40.411 | 24.784 | 87.638 |


| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 40.210 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 26.782 |
| Vibrational | 38.634 | 18.822 | 19.269 |
| Vibration 1 | 0.601 | 1.959 | 3.762 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.605 | 1.945 | 3.347 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.606 | 1.943 | 3.317 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.639 | 1.836 | 2.110 |
| Vibration 5 | 0.693 | 1.671 | 1.410 |
| Vibration 6 | 0.705 | 1.639 | 1.320 |
| Vibration 7 | 0.740 | 1.539 | 1.089 |
| Vibration 8 | 0.763 | 1.477 | 0.975 |
| Vibration 9 | 0.809 | 1.360 | 0.794 |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{Q})$ |
| Total Bot | 0.125034D-10 | -10.902973 | -25.105023 |
| Total V=0 | $0.141126 \mathrm{D}+16$ | 15.149606 | 34.883257 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.780499 \mathrm{D}-24$ | -24.107628 | -55.509864 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.240898 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.381833 | 0.879204 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.193956 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.287704 | 0.662463 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.190972 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.280970 | 0.646957 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.983739 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.007120 | -0.016395 |
| Vib (Bot) 5 | $0.631055 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.199933 | -0.460362 |
| Vib (Bot) 6 | $0.591538 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.228018 | -0.525030 |
| Vib (Bot) 7 | $0.495943 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.304568 | -0.701293 |
| Vib (Bot) 8 | $0.450330 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.346469 | -0.797775 |
| Vib (Bot) 9 | $0.380548 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.419591 | -0.966144 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.880951 \mathrm{D}+02$ | 1.944952 | 4.478416 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.296032 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.471339 | 1.085298 |
| $\operatorname{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 2$ | $0.250297 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.398456 | 0.917480 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 3$ | $0.247409 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.393416 | 0.905873 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.160351 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.205073 | 0.472197 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 5$ | $0.130513 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.115653 | 0.266301 |
| Vib (V=0) 6 | $0.127454 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.105354 | 0.242588 |
| Vib (V=0) 7 | $0.120424 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.080715 | 0.185852 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 8$ | $0.117290 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.069262 | 0.159481 |
| $\mathrm{Vib}(\mathrm{V}=0) 9$ | $0.112834 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.052442 | 0.120751 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.503544 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.702038 | 17.734598 |
| Rotational | $0.159069 \mathrm{D}+06$ | 5.201587 | 11.977096 |

85.4158 105.6916
107.3076
202.4968
301.1652
318.1389
367.6773
396.7344
450.4633
693.8582
713.3012
748.8759
796.4351
1189.5249
1405.2372
1440.4905
2301.4689
3040.5612
3175.4616
3225.6263
3796.1946

## $\mathrm{TS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Final Optimized Structure
! (Angstroms and Degrees) !


## $\mathrm{TS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 3 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 89.96156 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES | -- | 136.96460 | 220.74013 | 340.95867 |
| X | 0.99556 | 0.09346 | -0.01138 |  |
| Y | -0.09308 | 0.99520 | 0.03032 |  |
| Z | 0.01416 | -0.02913 | 0.99948 |  |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) $\begin{array}{llll}0.63238 & 0.39238 & 0.25403\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rotational constants (GHZ): } & 13.17670 & 8.17586 & 5.29314\end{array}$
1 imaginary frequencies ignored.
Zero-point vibrational energy 126088.0 (Joules/Mol)
30.13576 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 4 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Vibrational temperatures: } & 145.07 & 421.18 & 632.83 & 877.38 & 1075.96\end{array}$
(Kelvin) $\quad 1160.75 \quad 1283.55 \quad 1740.27 \quad 1989.58 \quad 2075.75$
4211.624576 .114702 .655437 .07

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.048024 (Hartree/Particle)
0.052704
0.053648
0.019696
-1623.677465
-1623.672786
-1623.671842
-1623.705794

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCa} 1 / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 33.072 | 14.286 | 71.459 |
| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 39.403 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 23.848 |


| Vibrational | 31.295 | 8.324 | 6.831 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vibration 1 | 0.604 | 1.948 | 3.438 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.688 | 1.687 | 1.458 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.800 | 1.383 | 0.827 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.969 | 1.011 | 0.433 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | Ln(Q) |
| Total Bot | $0.872395 \mathrm{D}-09$ | -9.059287 | -20.859779 |
| Total V=0 | $0.107240 \mathrm{D}+14$ | 13.030356 | 30.003504 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.357850 \mathrm{D}-21$ | -21.446299 | -49.381929 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.203514 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.308594 | 0.710563 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.652285 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.185562 | -0.427273 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.393080 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.405519 | -0.933742 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.242388 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.615488 | -1.417214 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.439889 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.643343 | 1.481353 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.259566 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.414248 | 0.953840 |
| Vib (V=0) 2 | $0.132187 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.121190 | 0.279050 |
| Vib (V=0) 3 | $0.113601 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.055383 | 0.127524 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.105565 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.023522 | 0.054161 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.335383 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.525541 | 17.328198 |
| Rotational | $0.363448 \mathrm{D}+05$ | 4.560442 | 10.500806 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ni}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$
Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
-414.6544
100.8260
292.7354
439.8417
609.8109
747.8340
806.7632
892.1145
1209.5474
1382.8265
1442.7230
2927.2229
3180.5588
3268.5103
3778.9588

## PRODUCT COMPLEX

Final Optimized Structure

| ! Name | e Definition | Value | Derivative Info. | ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! R1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.0861 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R2 | $\mathrm{R}(1,3)$ | 1.0887 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R3 | R(1,4) | 1.0887 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R4 | $\mathrm{R}(1,5)$ | 1.4755 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R5 | R $(5,6)$ | 0.9664 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! R6 | R $(5,7)$ | 1.9208 | $-\mathrm{DE} / \mathrm{DX}=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A1 | A( $2,1,3$ ) | 110.5037 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A2 | A( $2,1,4$ ) | 110.4995 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A3 | A( $2,1,5$ ) | 106.7471 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A4 | A(3,1,4) | 111.6945 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A5 | A(3,1,5) | 108.6176 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A6 | A(4,1,5) | 108.615 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! A7 | A(1,5,6) | 110.0383 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A8 | A(1,5,7) | 130.1021 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! A9 | A(6,5,7) | 119.8596 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D1 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,5,6)$ | 179.9462 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D2 | $\mathrm{D}(2,1,5,7)$ | -0.0785 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D3 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,5,6)$ | -60.8872 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D4 | $\mathrm{D}(3,1,5,7)$ | 119.0881 | -DE/DX $=0.0$ | ! |
| ! D5 | $\mathrm{D}(4,1,5,6)$ | 60.786 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |
| ! D6 | $\mathrm{D}(4,1,5,7)$ | -119.2387 | -DE/DX = 0.0 | ! |

## PRODUCT COMPLEX

Thermochemistry
Temperature 298.150 Kelvin. Pressure 1.00000 Atm.
Atom 1 has atomic number 6 and mass 12.00000
Atom 2 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 3 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 4 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 5 has atomic number 8 and mass 15.99491
Atom 6 has atomic number 1 and mass 1.00783
Atom 7 has atomic number 28 and mass 57.93535
Molecular mass: 89.96156 amu .
Principal axes and moments of inertia in atomic units:

|  | 2 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EIGENVALUES -- |  | 38.73101 | 497.72865 |
| X | 0.99994 | 0.01119 | 0.00000 |
| Y | -0.01119 | 0.99994 | 0.00004 |
| Z | 0.00000 | -0.00004 | 1.00000 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 2.23629 & 0.17402 & 0.16505\end{array}$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad 46.59681 \quad 3.62595 \quad 3.43908$
Zero-point vibrational energy 139535.8 (Joules/Mol) 33.34986 ( $\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ )

Warning -- explicit consideration of 4 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Vibrational temperatures: } & 91.52 & 285.64 & 577.94 & 580.68 & 1344.54\end{array}$ (Kelvin) $\quad 1598.41 \quad 1669.72 \quad 1982.79 \quad 2122.81 \quad 2138.21$ 2155.164417 .944556 .374582 .895459 .94

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.053146 (Hartree/Particle)
0.058077
0.059021
0.024198
-1623.747272
-1623.742342
-1623.741398
-1623.776221

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 36.444 | 14.012 | 73.291 |
| Electronic | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.377 |
| Translational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 39.403 |
| Rotational | 0.889 | 2.981 | 23.829 |


| Vibrational | 34.666 | 8.050 | 8.682 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vibration 1 | 0.597 | 1.972 | 4.342 |
| Vibration 2 | 0.637 | 1.842 | 2.147 |
| Vibration 3 | 0.767 | 1.466 | 0.956 |
| Vibration 4 | 0.769 | 1.462 | 0.950 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Q | Log10(Q) | Ln(Q) |
| Total Bot | $0.740798 \mathrm{D}-11$ | -11.130300 | -25.628463 |
| Total V=0 | $0.206675 \mathrm{D}+14$ | 13.315288 | 30.659583 |
| Vib (Bot) | $0.306740 \mathrm{D}-23$ | -23.513229 | -54.141211 |
| Vib (Bot) 1 | $0.324483 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.511193 | 1.177064 |
| Vib (Bot) 2 | $0.100494 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.002139 | 0.004925 |
| Vib (Bot) 3 | $0.443164 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.353436 | -0.813816 |
| Vib (Bot) 4 | $0.440464 \mathrm{D}+00$ | -0.356090 | -0.819928 |
| Vib (V=0) | $0.855774 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.932359 | 2.146836 |
| Vib (V=0) 1 | $0.378313 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.577851 | 1.330552 |
| Vib (V=0) 2 | $0.162245 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.210172 | 0.483939 |
| Vib (V=0) 3 | $0.116813 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.067490 | 0.155402 |
| Vib (V=0) 4 | $0.116634 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.066825 | 0.153870 |
| Electronic | $0.200000 \mathrm{D}+01$ | 0.301030 | 0.693147 |
| Translational | $0.335383 \mathrm{D}+08$ | 7.525541 | 17.328198 |
| Rotational | $0.360046 \mathrm{D}+05$ | 4.556358 | 10.491403 |

## PRODUCT COMPLEX

Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
63.6129
198.527
401.6920
403.5902
934.5047
1110.9485
1160.5148
1378.1066
1475.4299
1486.1338
1497.9138
3070.6270
3166.8382
3185.2728
3794.8536
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