

Data Sheet B.3.

Procedural Fidelity Checklist: Experiment one – Long interval schedule

Correct Incorrect

1. Allows 10 seconds for selection of reinforcer

2. Delivers schedule-specific instructions at the beginning of each trial.

“You can do [task] if you want to. When you do your work, you will earn one token. You will get [activity] after you have earned all ten tokens.”

3. Within 3s of response, places token on token board.

4. At end of session, delivers earned duration of reinforcement

- Fisher, W. W., Thompson, R. H., Piazza, C. C., Crosland, K., & Gotjen, D. (1997). On the relative reinforcing effects of choice and differential consequences. *Journal Of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 30(3), 423-438. doi:10.1901/jaba.1997.30-423
- Hackenberg, T.D., & Pietras, C.J. (2000). Video access as a reinforcer in a self-control paradigm: A method and some data. *Experimental Analysis of Human Behavior Bulletin*, 18, 1-5.
- Hall, R.V., Lund, D., & Jackson, D. (1968). Effects of teacher attention on study behavior. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 1, 1-12.
- Hernstein, R. J. (1961). Relative and absolute strength of a response as a function of frequency of reinforcement. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 4, 267-272.
- Hernstein, R. J. (1970). On the law of effect. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 13, 243-266.
- Iwata, B. A. (1987). Negative reinforcement in applied behavior analysis: An emerging technology. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 20, 371-378.
- Kang, S., 2 ¶ 5 H LM. Q Lancioni, G., Falcomata, T.S., Sigafoos, J., & Xu, Z. (2012). Comparison of the predictive validity and consistency among preference assessment procedures: A review of the literature. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 34, 1125-1133.
- Kennedy, C.H. (2005). *Single-case designs for educational research*. Upper Saddle River, NJ. Prentice Hall
- Kodak, T., Fisher, W.W., Kelley, M.E., Kisamore, A. (2009). Comparing preference assessments: Selection-versus duration-based preference assessment procedures. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 30, 1069-1077.
- Mace, F. C., & Belfiore, P. (1990). Behavior momentum in the treatment of escape-motivated stereotypy. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 23, 507-514. DOI: 10. 1901/jaba.1990.23-507
- Mace, F.C., Hock, M. L., Lalli, J.S., West, B.J., Belfiore, P., Pinter, E., & Brown, D.K. (1988). Behavior momentum in the treatment of noncompliance. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 21, 123-141.
- Mallot, R.W., & Trojan Suarez, E.A. (2004). *Elementary principles of behavior (5th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- Ragotzy, S.P., Blakely, E., & Poling, A. (1988). Self-control in mentally retarded adolescents: Choice as a function of amount and delay of reinforcement. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 49, 191-199.
- Reed, D.D., & Kaplan, B.A. (2011). The matching law: A tutorial for practitioners. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, 4, 15-24.
- Roane, H.S., Vollmer, T.R., Ringdahl, J.E., & Marcus, B.A. (1998). Evaluation of a brief stimulus preference assessment. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 31, 605-620.
- Schmidt, A. C., Hanley, G. P., & Layer, S. A. (2009). A further analysis of the value of choice: Controlling for illusory discriminative stimuli and evaluating the effects of less preferred items. *Journal Of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 42(3), 711-716. doi:10.1901/jaba.2009.42-711
- Sran, S. K., & Borrero, J. C. (2010). Assessing the value of choice in a token system. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 43, 553-557.
- Ward-Horner, J. C., Pittenger, A., Pace, G., & Fienup, D. M. (2014). Effects of reinforcer magnitude and distribution on preference for work schedules. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 47, 623-627.
- Wolf, M. M. (1978). Social validity: the case for subjective measurement or how applied behavior analysis is finding its heart. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 11(2), 203-214.
- Wong, C., Odom, S.L., Hume, K., Cox, A.W., Fettig, A., Kucharczyk.S.,...Schultz, T.R. (2014). *Evidence-based practices for children, youth and young adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder*. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina, Frank Porter Graham Child Development Institute, Autism Evidence-Based Practice Review Group.